# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Journal of **Medicinal Chemistry**

# Fused Piperidines as a Novel Class of Potent and Orally Available Transient Receptor Potential Melastatin Type 8 (TRPM8) Antagonists

Nuria A. Tamayo, $^{*,\dagger}$  Yunxin Bo, $^\dagger$  Vijay Gore, $^\dagger$  Vu Ma, $^\dagger$  Nobuko Nishimura, $^\dagger$  Phi Tang, $^\dagger$  Hong Deng, $^{\$}$ Lana Klionsky,§ S[on](#page-16-0)ya G. Lehto,§ Weiya Wang,§ Brad Youngblood,§ Jiyun Chen,<sup>∥</sup> Tiffany L. Correll,‡ Michael D. Bartberger,† Narender R. Gavva,§ and Mark H. Norman†

Departments of <sup>†</sup>Chemistry Research and Discovery, <sup>§</sup>Neuroscience, ‡Analytical Research and Development, and <sup>∥</sup>Pharmacokinetics and Drug Metabolism, Amgen Inc., One Amgen Center Drive, Thousand Oaks, California 91320-1799, United States

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-16-0)STRACT: [The transient](#page-16-0) receptor potential melastatin type 8 (TRPM8) is a nonselective cation channel primarily expressed in a subpopulation of sensory neurons that can be activated by a wide range of stimuli, including menthol, icilin, and cold temperatures  $(\langle 25 \degree C \rangle)$ . Antagonism of TRPM8 is currently under investigation as a new approach for the treatment of pain. As a result of our screening efforts, we identified tetrahydro-



thienopyridine 4 as an inhibitor of icilin-induced calcium influx in CHO cells expressing recombinant rat TRPM8. Exploration of the structure−activity relationships of 4 led to the identification of a potent and orally bioavailable TRPM8 antagonist, tetrahydroisoquinoline 87. Compound 87 demonstrated target coverage in vivo after oral administration in a rat pharmacodynamic model measuring the prevention of icilin-induced wet-dog shakes (WDS).

# ■ INTRODUCTION

The transient receptor potential melastatin type 8 (TRPM8) is a member of the transient receptor potential (TRP) superfamily of ion channels. Based on amino acid homology, the mammalian members of this family have been classified into six subfamilies: TRPC, TRPV, TRPM, TRPA, TRPP, and TRPML. The TRP channels have six transmembrane polypeptide subdomains flanked by intracellular C- and N-terminal regions. In addition, the TRP proteins assemble as homo- or heterotetramers to form cation-permeable pores.<sup>1</sup> These channels are nonselective cation channels activated by a variety of chemical and physical stimuli. For example, TR[PM](#page-17-0)8 is a ligand-gated,  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$ -permeable, nonspecific cation channel primarily expressed in a subset of small diameter sensory neurons.<sup>2</sup> TRPM8 is activated by cold temperatures (<25  $^{\circ}$ C), as well as by natural cooling compounds such as menthol (1) and euc[al](#page-17-0)yptol  $(2)$ .<sup>3</sup> The TRPM8 channel is also activated by the synthetic cooling agent icilin  $(3)$  (Figure 1).<sup>4</sup> The cloning and characterizatio[n](#page-17-0) of the TRPM8 receptor, as well as several studies with knockout mice, revealed its role in [co](#page-17-0)ld sensation as well as cold allodynia (pain induced by normally innocuous cold) and its potential utility as a therapeutic target.<sup>5,6</sup> Cold allodynia is one of the predominant clinical symptoms in patients going through chemotherapy, $7$  as well as in [dis](#page-17-0)eases such as diabetic neuropathy,<sup>8</sup> fibromyalgia,<sup>9</sup> and traumatic neuropathy.<sup>10</sup> Furthermore, correlatio[n](#page-17-0) of pain with increased expression of TRPM8 in nerv[e](#page-17-0) fibers of over[ac](#page-17-0)tive and painful bladders s[ugg](#page-17-0)ests TRPM8 involvement in bladder pain.<sup>11</sup> Preclinically, an antagonist of TRPM8 has been shown to decrease the frequency of volume-induced bladder contractio[ns,](#page-17-0) without reducing the amplitude of contraction in rats. $12$ 



Figure 1. TRPM8 agonists, menthol (1), eucalyptol (2), and icilin (3), the initial high-throughput TRPM8 hit 4, tetrahydrothienopyridine 5, and the generic structure 6 used for SAR investigations.

Together, the above studies suggest a potential role for TRPM8 antagonists in chronic pain conditions as well as in painful bladder syndromes.<sup>13</sup> Small molecule antagonists of the TRPM8 channel would provide important pharmacological tools for fully assessing th[e](#page-17-0) therapeutic potential of inhibiting this novel target.

At the onset of our efforts to validate TRPM8 as a novel target for pain, there were very few reports of TRPM8 antagonists in the public domain.<sup>14,15</sup> We therefore set out to

Received: October 10, 2011 Published: February 13, 2012



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (a) R<sup>1</sup>COCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 2 h; (b) POCl<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 60 °C, 18 h; (c) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 2 h; (d) benzaldehyde, toluene, reflux, 16 h; (e) trifluoroacetic acid, room temperature, 72 h; (f) phenyl isocyanate, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 1 h.

discover a novel small molecule antagonist by performing a high-throughput screen to identify inhibitors of icilin activation of the rTRPM8 channel. Tetrahydrothienopyridine 4 was identified as a hit from this screening campaign (Figure 1). Compound 4 inhibited the  $Ca^{2+}$  influx evoked by 3 in CHO cells transfected with rTRP[M](#page-0-0)8 with an  $IC_{50}$  value of 1.39  $\mu$ M. However, stability data from rat liver microsomal (RLM) preparations predicted that compound 4 would be extensively metabolized  $(CL<sub>int</sub> > 399 \mu L/(\text{min-mg}))^{16}$  and therefore, it would not have adequate pharmacokinetic (PK) characteristics necessary for in vivo target validation. A[n i](#page-17-0)nitial substructure search of the corporate database identified several other tetrahyhydrothienopyridines as antagonists of rTRPM8. From this set, compound 5 (Figure 1) was found to be 5-fold more potent than the initial hit, albeit displaying similar instability in RLM (IC<sub>50</sub> = 293 nM; CL<sub>int</sub> > [39](#page-0-0)9  $\mu$ L/(min·mg)).<sup>16</sup> Therefore, we undertook a structure−activity relationship (SAR) investigation to identify analogues of 5 with the goal [of](#page-17-0) improving both the potency and the pharmacokinetic properties of this series.

To investigate the SAR around compound 5, we decided to systematically vary three segments of the molecule: the heterocyclic core, the  $R<sup>1</sup>$  group on the 2-position of the piperidine ring, and the  $R^2$  substituent on the piperidine nitrogen (general structure 6; Figure 1). Toward this goal, novel analogues of 5 were synthesized and tested for their ability to antagonize rTRPM8. The [co](#page-0-0)mpounds were also evaluated for in vitro metabolic stability in rat liver microsomes (RLM). This investigation provided an understanding of the SAR of this class of compounds and resulted in the discovery of a novel series of rTRPM8 antagonists, exemplified by tetrahydroisoquinoline 87. This compound potently inhibited rTRPM8 in vitro and demonstrated adequate target coverage in vivo.

# ■ CHEMISTRY

Tetrahydrothienopyridine analogues where the  $R<sup>1</sup>$  group was varied were prepared via "amide" or "imine" synthetic routes as depicted in Scheme 1. Acylation of 2-(thiophen-2-yl) ethanamine (7) with various acid chlorides led to amides of general structure 8. Cyclization of the amides with POCl<sub>3</sub> and subsequent reduction of the resulting imines with  $N$ aB $H_4$  led to the desired tetrahydrothienopyridines 10a−10m. Alternatively, 4-phenyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno $[3,2-c]$ pyridine  $(10n)$  was prepared via a Pictet−Spengler reaction. Treatment of amine

7 with benzaldehyde formed the imine 9, which was cylized in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid. The targeted ureas (5, 11− 23), were prepared by reacting phenyl isocyanate with tetrahydrothienopyridines 10a−10n.

To study the role of the urea substituent in compound  $5(R^2)$ at the piperidine nitrogen), several analogues having amines  $(24–26)$ , amides  $(27–31)$ , or ureas  $(32–39)$  substituents were prepared from 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7 tetrahydrothieno $[3,2-c]$ pyridine  $(10g)$  (Scheme 2). Reductive



a<br>Reagents and conditions: (a) ketone/aldehyde, trifluoroacetic acid,  $NaB(OAc)$ <sub>3</sub>H, DCE, room temperature, 1 h; (b) acid chloride, Et<sub>3</sub>N,  $CH_2Cl_2$ , room temperature, 2 h; (c) isocyanate,  $CH_2Cl_2$ , room temperature, 1 h.

amination of 10g with acetone, isobutyraldehyde, or benzaldehyde led to amines 24−26. Amides (27−31) were prepared by the reaction of 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)- 4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno $[3,2-c]$  pyridine  $(10g)$  with the corresponding acid chlorides in the presence of triethylamine. Finally, the urea derivatives 32−39 were prepared by condensation of 10g with various isocyanates (Scheme 2).

To extend our SAR study, we also investigated a variety of replacements of the tetrahydrothienopyridine core. Initially, we examined the nature of the five-membered heterocyclic ring fused to the piperidine. For example, tetrahydrofuropyridine 41 was synthesized by N-acylation of commercially available 2- (furan-2-yl)ethanamine (40) with 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride, followed by cyclization with  $P OCl<sub>3</sub>$  and reduction of the cyclic imine intermediate (Scheme 3). The regiosomeric analogues, tetrahydrofuropyridine 42 and tetrahydrothienopyridine 43, were obtained by previou[sly](#page-2-0) described procedures,<sup>17,18</sup> and the tetrahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine 44 was commercially available. The requisite urea analogues 45−48 were [syn](#page-17-0)thesized in good yields by treatment of fused



a<br>Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-CF<sub>3</sub>COCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 2 h; (b) POCl<sub>3</sub>, benzene, reflux, 2 h; (c) NaB(OAc)<sub>3</sub>H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 18 h; di-tert-butyl dicarbonate, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 18 h; (d) HCl/dioxane, room temperature, 18 h; (e) 4-F-phenyl isocyanate, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 1 h.

#### Scheme 4<sup>a</sup>

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Scheme  $3^a$ 



a Reagents and conditions: (a) MeONHMe·HCl, EDC, DIEA, CH2Cl2, room temperature, 16 h; (b) DIBAL, THF, −78 °C, 1 h; (c) 4-CF3PhMgBr, THF, 0 °C; (d) N-vinylphtalimide, Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub>, X-Phos, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF, 80 °C, 24 h; (e) Pd/C, H<sub>2</sub>, MeOH; (f) MnO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 4 h; (g) NH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, EtOH, room temperature, 72 h; (h) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 30 min; (i) 4-F-phenyl isocyanate, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 1 h.

piperidines 41−44 with 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate at room temperature.

The synthesis of the fused 4,5-thiazole derivative 58 needed for this investigation required a longer synthetic route (Scheme 4). Intermediate 51 was synthesized following a two-step procedure starting from commercially available acid 49 via the Weinreb amide 50. Reaction of 5-bromothiazole-4-carbaldehyde (51) with 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl Grignard gave the benzylic alcohol 52, which was then coupled with Nvinylphthalimide under palladium-catalyzed conditions to

yield 53. Catalytic hydrogenation of the vinyl group of compound 53, followed by oxidation with manganese oxide led to ketone 55. Removal of the phthalimide protecting group with hydrazine in ethanol and reduction of the resulting dihydrothiazolopyridine intermediate 56 gave tetrahydrothiazolopyridine 57. Finally, compound 57 was treated with 4 fluorophenyl isocyanate to give the desired analogue, 58.

Analogues having a fused imidazole ring were readily accessible by thermal cyclization of the Schiff base obtained from histamine (59) and 4-trifluoromethylbenzaldehyde

# Scheme 5<sup>a</sup>



a Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-CF<sub>3</sub>PhCHO, KOH, EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, 100 °C, 4 h; (b) 4-F-phenyl isocyanate, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 1 h; (c) ditert-butyl dicarbonate, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 2 h; (d) MeI, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, DMF, room temperature, 2 h; (e) trifluoroacetic acid/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 2 h.





a<br>Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-CF<sub>3</sub>PhCOCl, DIEA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 16 h; (b) P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, polyphosphoric acid, 165 °C, 2 h; (c) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 2 h; (d) 4-F-phenyl isocyanate,  $CH_2Cl_2$ , room temperature, 1 h.

(Scheme 5). The tetrahydroimidazopyridine 60 was converted to the 4-fluorophenyl urea 61 by reaction with 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate. To prepare analogue 63, the piperidine amino group of compound 60 was first protected using di-tert-butyl carbonate to give intermediate 62. Methylation of the imidazole ring with methyl iodide in the presence of sodium bicarbonate gave the 1-methyl regioisomer as the major product.<sup>19</sup> The  $t$ butoxycarbonyl protecting group was removed by treatment with trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane. Furthe[r f](#page-17-0)untionalization with 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate and purification gave the dihydroimidazopyridine, 63.

We next examined a set of compounds containing either a substituted or an unsubstituted fused six-membered aromatic ring. Compounds having a tetrahydroisoquinoline or benzazepine core substituted with a 4-trifluoromethylphenyl group as  $R<sup>1</sup>$  were prepared by standard methods from 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride and the appropriate 2arylethylamines (64a−64d) or 2-phenylpropylamine (64e) as shown in Scheme 6. The intermediate amides 65a−e underwent a Bischler−Napieralski reaction upon treatment with polyphosporic acid and phosphorus pentoxide. The resulting imines 66a−e were reduced with sodium borohydride. Treatment of tetrahydroisoquinolines 67a−d or benzazepine 67e with 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate at room temperature gave the corresponding ureas 68−72 in good yields.

The synthesis of the final fluorotetrahydroisoquinoline derivative 78 is shown in Scheme 7. In this case, the 4- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl group was introduced at the C-1 position of the isoquinoline ring via activation of 8 fluoroisoquinoline (73) with benzyl bromide followed by reaction with (4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)magnesium bromide. Reduction of the tetrahydroisoquinoline core, deprotection of the N-benzyl amine, and subsequent urea formation led to the 8-fluoro analogue, 78.

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>Scheme  $7^a$



 $^a$ Reagents and conditions: (a) PhCH<sub>2</sub>Br, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 90 °C, 3 h; (b) 4-CF<sub>3</sub>PhMgBr, THF, 0 °C to room temperature, 4 h; (c) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, AcOH, THF, room temperature, 2 h; (d) Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, EtOH, 50 psi, 3 h; (e) 4-F-phenyl isocyanate, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 1 h.

# Scheme 8<sup>a</sup>



a<br>Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-CF<sub>3</sub>PhMgBr, THF, 0 °C, 2 h; (b) trifluoroacetic acid, Et<sub>3</sub>SiH, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, room temperature, 18 h; (c) LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, THF, 75 °C, 2 h; (d) 4-F-phenyl isocyanate,  $CH_2Cl_2$ , room temperature, 2 h.

Scheme  $9^a$ 



 $^a$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-CF3PhMgBr, THF, −78 °C to room temperature, 2.5 h; (b) trifluoroacetic acid, room temperature, 4 h; (c) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, MeOH, room temperature, 18 h; (d) 4-F-phenyl isocyanate,  $CH_2Cl_2$ , room temperature, 3 h.

The isoindoline analogue 82 (Scheme 8) was prepared by the addition of 4-trifluoromethylphenyl Grignard to phthalimide (79), followed by deoxygenation of the intermediate with triethylsilane and trifluoroacetic acid to give 3-(4- (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)isoindolin-1-one (80). Isoindolinone 80 was reduced to isoindoline 81 by treatment with lithium aluminum hydride. The requisite urea analogue, 82, was obtained by derivatization of 81 with 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate.

To explore the effect of eliminating the fused aromatic ring, the 2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)piperidine analogue 86 was prepared (Scheme 9). Nucleophilic addition of 4-trifluoromethylphenyl Grignard to the protected γ-lactam 83 provided ketone 84.<sup>20</sup> Removal of the t-butoxycarbonyl protecting group with trifluoroacetic acid gave the intermediate amine that cyclized re[ad](#page-17-0)ily under the reaction conditions. Reduction of the resulting Schiff base with sodium borohydride gave the

piperidine derivative, 85, which was treated with 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate to provide the piperidine derivative, 86.

# ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The fused piperidine analogues prepared in this study were evaluated for their ability to block the icilin-induced calcium influx in CHO cells expressing the rTRPM8 channel. The results are shown in Tables 1−4. All compounds reported herein behaved as full antagonists. Additionally, the metabolic stability of the compounds wa[s a](#page-5-0)s[sa](#page-8-0)yed in rat liver microsomal (RLM) preparations for initial assessment of metabolism, and the data is reported as intrinsic clearance  $CL_{int}$ <sup>16</sup>

To establish a thorough understanding of the SAR of this series, each of the three variables of general stru[ctu](#page-17-0)re 6 were systematically modified including the  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  substituents and the central heterocyclic core. We began our investigation by examining the effect of altering the  $R<sup>1</sup>$  group at the 2<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 1. In Vitro rTRPM8 Activities and Rat Microsomal Stabilities for Tetrahydrothienopyridines  $(R<sup>1</sup>$  Group Modifications)<sup>a</sup>

 $S \rightarrow$ 

ł, Ħ R <sup>1</sup> Ö									
		rTRPM8	$CL_{int}$						
Compound No.	$R^I$	$IC_{50}$ (nM)	$(\mu L/min/mg)$						
5	ş-	$293 \pm 64$	>399						
11	H	>20000	180						
12	CH <sub>3</sub>	$6800 \pm 110$	N.D.						
13	ş.	$5570 \pm 940$	>399						
14	ξ	$1690 \pm 1130$	>399						
15	$F_3C$ ξ	$240 \pm 36$	>399						
16	${\sf CF}_3$ ξ	$1830 \pm 440$	>399						
17	ξ CF <sub>3</sub>	$290 \pm 74$	211						
18	СI ξ	$140 \pm 48$	>399						
19	ξ	$2890 \pm 2180$	>399						
20	ξ <b>CI</b>	$560 \pm 460$	>399						
21		$2270 \pm 960$	>399						
22	ξ	$1320 \pm 820$	>399						
23	ξ F	$1840 \pm 1330$	>399						

<sup>a</sup>IC<sub>50</sub> values based on inhibition of icilin (1  $\mu$ M) induced influx of  $Ca^{2+}$  into rTRPM8-expressing CHO cells. Each IC<sub>50</sub> value reported represents an average of at least two independent experiments with three replicates at each concentration. In vitro microsomal stability measured in a high-throughput automated format.<sup>16</sup>

position of the tetrahydrothienopyridine [c](#page-17-0)ore structure. Derivatives of lead compound 5 with modifications at  $R<sup>1</sup>$  are shown in Table 1. The unsubstituted tetrahydrothienopyridine 11  $(R<sup>1</sup> = H)$  was devoid of activity against the rTRPM8 channel indicating that substitution at the 2-position on the heterocyclic core was required. Decreasing the size of the alkyl group from n-propyl (compound 5) to methyl and cyclopropyl (compounds 12 and 13) also proved to be detrimental (IC<sub>50</sub>) values of 6800 and 5570 nM, respectively). A loss in potency was also observed for the phenyl, the 3-trifluoromethyl phenyl, and the 3-chlorophenyl substituted derivatives (14, 16, and

19); however, 2- and 4-trifluoromethyl or chloro substituted phenyl analogues (15, 17, 18, and 20) had similar activities as the parent compound 5. By contrast, no benefit was realized by the addition of the smaller fluoro group at any of the three positions on the phenyl ring (14 vs 21−23). Although the 2 substituted derivatives 15 and 18 showed good activities, the 4 trifluoromethyl group gave slightly better stability in rat liver microsomes. From this set of analogues, we determined that substituting the *n*-propyl group of compound  $5$  by a 4trifluoromethyl phenyl group (to give compound 17) resulted in an analogue that retained rTRPM8 antagonistic activity but had an improved stability in the rat microsomal assay ( $CL<sub>int</sub>$  = 211  $\mu$ L/(min·mg)).

Since compound 17, which contains a 4-trifluoromethyl phenyl group  $(R^1)$  substituent), had the best combination of potency and microsomal stability, this group was maintained at the 2-position in the next phase of our SAR investigations whereby we examined the effect of varying the  $R^2$  substituent on the piperidine nitrogen (Table 2). Removal of the phenyl urea group to give the unsubstituted piperidine derivative 10g  $(R<sup>2</sup> = H)$  completely abolished fu[nc](#page-6-0)tional activity against the rTRPM8 channel (IC<sub>50</sub> > 20  $\mu$ M). We then explored other functional groups on the piperidyl nitrogen such as alkyl groups, amides, or ureas. The isopropyl, isobutyl, and benzyl derivatives (24, 25, and 26) did not show any antagonistic activity against the rTRPM8 channel. In contrast, adding a carbonyl group adjacent to the piperidyl nitrogen, by replacing the  $\mathbb{R}^2$  isobutyl group (derivative 25) with an isopropyl amide group (analogue 27), increased the potency significantly (27  $IC_{50}$  = 1260 nM), indicating that a carbonyl group in this position was beneficial. Next, we examined analogues bearing aromatic amides at the piperidinyl nitrogen, 2-fluoro (28), 3 fluoro (29), and 4-fluorophenyl amide (30). Interestingly, this substitution was only tolerated when the fluoro was at the 2 position on the phenyl group ( $28$ , IC<sub>50</sub> = 510 nM). The 3- and 4-fluorophenyl analogues (29 and 30, respectively) had  $IC_{50}$ values greater than 20  $\mu$ M, indicating that the substitution at the phenyl group was very sensitive to substituent's position. Although amide 28 was moderately potent, it had extremely poor rat microsomal stability. Therefore, we turned our attention to ureas and explored the substitution of the phenyl urea of compound 17 by alkyl ureas. Introduction of an isopropyl urea (32) or a cyclopropyl urea (33) at the piperidinyl nitrogen led to compounds that were 2−5-fold weaker than the parent phenyl urea 17, indicating that a phenyl group was preferred for activity. Also, the antagonistic activity against rTRPM8 of 17 was abolished when a morpholino urea group was introduced at  $R^2$  (compound 34). We then explored substitution at the 4-position on the N-phenyl urea group with electron-donating (35,  $R^2 = 4$ -methoxyphenyl urea) and electron-withdrawing groups (36,  $R^2 = 4$ -chlorophenyl urea). We postulated that introduction of substituents at this position, if tolerated, may improve the in vitro microsomal stability because the 4-position in a phenyl group is often a site for oxidative metabolism. Unfortunately, these substitutions resulted in a loss of activity (35, IC<sub>50</sub> = 2320 nM; 36, IC<sub>50</sub> = 1630 nM). In contrast, the 2-, 3-, and 4-fluoro substituted phenyl derivatives were more encouraging, as illustrated by compounds 37−39. Of these analogues, the 4-fluoro derivative, 39, proved to be both the most potent and the most metabolically stable. As a direct comparison of the 4 fluorophenyl urea, and to interrogate the contribution of the urea NH functionality to potency and microsomal stability, we



<span id="page-6-0"></span>

				CF <sub>3</sub>			
Compound No.	$\boldsymbol{R}^2$	rTRPMS	$\boldsymbol{CL_{int}}$	Compound No.	$\boldsymbol{R}^2$	rTRPMS	$CL_{\mathit{int}}$
		$IC_{50}$ (nM)	$(\mu L/min/mg)$			$IC_{50}$ (nM)	$(\mu L/min/mg)$
$\bf 17$	$\Omega$	$290 \pm 74$	211	33		$1210 \pm 260$	>399
10g	$\, {\rm H}$	>20000	>399		Ö		
$\bf{24}$		>20000	$<14\,$	34	Ö	>20000	>399
${\bf 25}$		>20000	N.D.	35	∩ <b>OMe</b>	$2320 \pm 1060$	203
${\bf 26}$		>20000	>399				
$\bf 27$	ő	$1260 \pm 650$	>399	36	∩ CI.	$1630 \pm 360$	162
${\bf 28}$	ö	$510 \pm 67$	>399	37		$600 \pm 52$	342
${\bf 29}$	Ő	>20000	>399	38		$780 \pm 63$	278
30	Ö	>20000	248	39	◠	$340 \pm 210$	178
32		$550 \pm 340$	>399	31	O	$890 \pm 150$	>399

 ${}^a{\rm IC}_{50}$  values based on inhibition of icilin  $(1\ \mu\rm{M})$  induced influx of  $\rm Ca^{2+}$  into rTRPM8-expressing CHO cells. Each I $\rm C_{50}$  value reported represents an average of at least two independent experiments with three replicates at each concentration (SEM). In vitro microsomal stability measured in a highthroughput automated format.<sup>16</sup>

also prepared the 4-fluoro[ph](#page-17-0)enylacetamide derivative 31. This analogue was slightly less potent than urea 39 but was significantly less stable in rat liver microsomes demonstrating the added stability provided by the urea functionality. We therefore continued our investigations using analogue 39 for further optimization studies.

The final SAR examined in this work resulted from modifications of the heterocyclic core of compound 39 (Table 3). Replacement of the fused thiophene ring in 39 with its regioisomeric five-membered ring heterocycle gave 4,5,6,7-t[et](#page-7-0)rahydrothieno $[2,3-c]$ pyridine 45. This small modification gave only a 2-fold improvement in potency against rTRPM8; however, it did not improve the microsomal stability. Similarly, substitution of the fused thiophene ring by a furan ring did not result in a significant change in activity with the regioisomeric 4,5,6,7-tetrahydrofuro[3,2-c]pyridine 46 and 4,5,6,7-tetrahydrofuro $[3,2-c]$ pyridine 47 having IC<sub>50</sub> values of 260 and 230 nM, respectively. This suggested that the sulfur atom did not play a critical role in the antagonistic activity against the rTRPM8 channel. Other fused five-membered ring heterocycles such as pyrrole 48, thiazole 58, and imidazoles 61 and 63 were also assessed for functional antagonism of rTRPM8. There was not a significant difference in potency between the parent thiophene analogue 39 and compounds 48 and 58. However, introduction of an additional H-bond donating group such as in imidazole 61 proved detrimental for activity (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1430 nM). Masking the NH in the imidazole ring by methylation led to analogue 63, which had improved potency and RLM values. We then examined sixmembered rings and a significant improvement in activity was observed when the (5,6)-bicyclic core was replaced with a (6,6)-core. For example, tetrahydroisoquinoline 68 was not only 6-fold more potent than the parent compound, but it was also significantly more metabolically stable with a  $CL<sub>int</sub> = 92$  $\mu$ L/(min·mg). This result demonstrated that the tetrahydrothienopyridine moiety, a highly electron-rich ring system and potentially the main source of metabolic instability, could be replaced while enhancing rTRPM8 antagonistic activity.

With the tetrahydroisoquinoline core identified as a superior structural motif, four isomeric monofluoro tetrahydroisoquino<span id="page-7-0"></span>Table 3. In Vitro rTRPM8 Activities and Rat Microsomal Stabilities for Substituted Piperidines (Heterocyclic Core Modifications)<sup>a</sup>



 ${}^a{\rm IC}_{50}$  values based on inhibition of icilin  $(1\ \mu\rm{M})$  induced influx of  $\rm Ca^{2+}$  into rTRPM8-expressing CHO cells. Each IC<sub>50</sub> value reported represents an average of at least two independent experiments with three replicates at each concentration (SEM). In vitro microsomal stability measured in a highthroughput automated format.<sup>16</sup>

line analogues were prepar[ed](#page-17-0) (i.e., 69−71, 78) in an effort to further improve in vitro stability by blocking possible sites of metabolism on this new series of compounds (Table 3). Introduction of a fluoro substituent at the 6- or 8-position (70, 78) was not well tolerated for rTRPM8 activity. However, the 5- and 7-fluoro analogues (69, 71) were potent antagonists of rTRPM8, and a moderate improvement in metabolic stability was obtained.

The role of the aromatic ring fused to the piperidine was also investigated with the piperidine analogue 86. This compound was significantly less potent than the parent tetrahydrothienopyridine 39, indicating that a fused bicyclic core was preferred for activity.

Next we turned our attention to the fused piperidine ring and examined the effect of the ring size on potency and microsomal stability. Homologation of the piperidine ring to the sevenmembered ring analogue resulted in benzazepine 72, which was not active against rTRPM8 following activation by 3. In

contrast, the indoline analogue 82 was only 6-fold less potent than the parent tetrahydroisoquinoline 68.

Due to its improved in vitro metabolic clearance, as well as its intrinsic potency at the target, tetrahydroisoquinoline 68 was selected for in vivo evaluation. Separation of the racemic mixture 68 using preparative chiral chromatography provided the two enantiomers (87 and 88). The absolute stereochemistries of tetrahydroisoquinolines 87 and 88 were determined by two different methods that compare theoretical and experimental measurements: vibrational circular dichroism  $(VCD)^{21}$  and optical rotation.<sup>22</sup> In the first method, VCD spectra for each enantiomer were acquired on a chiral infrared (IR) in[str](#page-18-0)ument (Figure 2a), an[d](#page-18-0) the corresponding theoretical VCD spectra were determined quantum mechanically (B3LYP/ 6-31 $G^*$ )<sup>22a-c</sup> (Figure 2b[\).](#page-8-0) Experimental and theoretical achiral IR spectra were also obtained and are illustrated in Figure 2c. Alignme[nt](#page-18-0) [o](#page-18-0)f the e[xp](#page-8-0)erimental and theoretical achiral IR spectra allowed for the major absorption transitions (i−iv [i](#page-8-0)n

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure 2. IR and VCD spectra for compounds 87 and 88: (a) experimental VCD spectra; (b) theoretical VCD spectra; (c) experimental and theoretical achiral IR spectra, superimposed. See Supporting Information for computational details.

[Figure 2\) to be map](#page-16-0)ped to their corresponding peaks in the chiral VCD spectra. Comparison of these unambiguous regions of the corresponding experimental VCD traces of 87 and 88 to those computed for the  $(R)$ - and  $(S)$ -forms, led to the assignments of compounds  $87$  and  $88$  as the  $(R)$ - and  $(S)$ enantiomers, respectively. The second method used to determine the absolute stereochemistries of 87 and 88 utilized optical rotation measurements. In this method, the predicted optical rotation values for the Boltzmann-weighted, conformational average of the  $(R)$ - and  $(S)$ -enantiomers  $(-379^\circ)$  and

+379°, respectively; at 589 nm) were in qualitative agreement with the measured optical rotations for 87 and 88  $([\alpha]^{26}_{\quad \text{D}}$ −106.6° and +106.7° [c 0.1, chloroform], respectively), thereby corroborating the VCD-based assignments.

Having determined the absolute configuration of compounds 87 and 88, we analyzed both enantiomers in vitro. The Risomer 87 was a significantly more potent rTRPM8 antagonist and was more stable in rat liver microsomes preparations (IC $_{50}$ ) = 56 nM,  $CL_{int}$  = 42  $\mu L/(min\text{-}mg)$ ; Table 4). Following

Table 4. In Vitro rTRPM8 Activities and Rat Microsomal Stabilities for Tetrahydroisoquinoline Enantiomers 87 and  $88<sup>a</sup>$ 



<sup>a</sup>IC<sub>50</sub> values based on inhibition of icilin (1  $\mu$ M) induced influx of  $Ca^{2+}$  into rTRPM8-expressing CHO cells. Each IC<sub>50</sub> value reported represents an average of at least two independent experiments with three replicates at each concentration. In vitro microsomal stability measured in a high-throughput automated format.<sup>16</sup> For selectivity information on other TRP channels as well as potency for the human channel and human liver microsomal data, [se](#page-17-0)e Supporting Information.

[intravenous](#page-16-0) administration in Sprague−Dawley rats [\(Table](#page-16-0) [5\),](#page-16-0) 87 displayed a relatively high total systemic clearance (2.9 L/ (h·kg), ∼88% of hepatic blood flow), a high volume [o](#page-9-0)f distribution (15.3 L/kg), and a moderate terminal half-life of 6.7 h. In contrast, an even higher rate of clearance (CL) was observed for compound 88 (5.8  $L/(h \cdot kg)$ ). The pharmacokinetic profile of compound 87 after single oral administration (po) is summarized in Table 5. Tetrahydroisoquinoline 87 was well-absorbed, with a bioavailability of 57%. The maximum plasma concentration  $(C_{\text{max}})$  [f](#page-9-0)ollowing oral administration of compound 87 was 265 ng/mL at 2.7 h. Rat plasma protein binding for compound 87 was determined to be 90.1%.

Although pharmacokinetic properties of tetrahydroisoquinoline 87 were not optimal, its potency and overall profile made it a suitable candidate for in vivo evaluation in an on-target biochemical challenge model. In this model, prevention of icilin-induced wet-dog shakes (WDS) in rats by tetrahydroisoquinolines 87 and 88 was measured (Figure 3).<sup>23</sup> Vehicle or test compounds (87 and 88) were administered orally to Sprague−Dawley rats 90 min prior to challe[ng](#page-9-0)[e w](#page-18-0)ith 3 (0.5 mg/kg, ip). In the vehicle/3 group, over 150 shakes were counted during a 30 min period. Pretreatment with compound 87 significantly reduced icilin-induced WDS in a dosedependent manner. A reduction significantly different from vehicle was observed at 3 mg/kg (30%;  $p < 0.01$ ) and more than 80% reduction was obtained at 30 mg/kg ( $p < 0.001$ ). A

<span id="page-9-0"></span>



and the parameters were reported as mean(SD). <sup>b</sup>Study in fed male Sprague–Dawley rats dosed at 2 mg/kg in DMSO,  $n = 3$  animals per study.<br>"Study in fasted male Sprague–Dawley rats dosed at 10 mg/kg as a suspension in 5% Study in fasted male Sprague−Dawley rats dosed at 10 mg/kg as a suspension in 5% Tween 80/Oraplus, n = 3 animals per study.



Figure 3. Inhibition of icilin-induced wet-dog shakes (WDS) in rats and corresponding plasma levels (bar graph) of compounds 87 and 88, dosed po in 5% Tween 80/Oraplus 90 min prior to challenge by 3;  $n =$ 8 per group of Sprague−Dawley male rats. Plasma samples were collected 2 h after oral dosing.

nonlinear regression model (log inhibitor versus response with variable slope) of plasma concentrations of compound 87 versus WDS yielded an  $EC_{50}$  of 209 ng/mL. In the same study, animals were also predosed with 100 mg/kg of compound 88, the enantiomer of 87 (rTRPM8 IC<sub>50</sub> > 20  $\mu$ M). Plasma levels of compound 88 at 100 mg/kg (521 ng/mL) were comparable to 30 mg/kg of 87 (460 ng/mL); however, no inhibition of icilin-induced WDS was observed. These results demonstrated that 87 was a potent antagonist of TRPM8 in vivo and that the inhibition of WDS in rats induced by 3 was due to TRPM8 antagonism.

# ■ SUMMARY

Following the identification of tetrahydrothienopyridine 4 as a TRPM8 antagonist hit from a high-throughput screening campaign, we undertook a detailed SAR investigation aimed at improving potency as well as increasing metabolic stability of this compound. SAR studies aimed at improving potency and microsomal stability established a 4-trifluorophenyl group as the preferred  $R^1$  substituent and a 4-fluorophenyl urea as the  $R^2$ substituent of choice on the piperidine nitrogen, leading to tetrahydrothienopyridine 39. Subsequent modifications of the central heterocyclic core led to of a new series of tetrahydroisoquinolines as potent TRPM8 antagonists with increased metabolic stability, exemplified by compound 87. The overall profile of this TRPM8 antagonist (87), potency and pharmacokinetic properties, made it a suitable candidate for in vivo studies. Compound 87 showed a dose-dependent reduction of icilin-induced WDS in rats, an on-target biochemical challenge model. Although optimization of potency and overall PKDM profile is still required in this new series, these data represent an encouraging starting point for the discovery of TRPM8 antagonists as novel therapies for pain.

# **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Chemistry. Unless otherwise noted, all materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Anhydrous solvents were obtained from Aldrich or EM Science and used directly. All reactions involving air- or moisture-sensitive reagents were performed under a nitrogen or argon atmosphere. All microwaveassisted reactions were conducted with a Smith synthesizer from Personal Chemistry, Uppsala, Sweden. All final compounds were purified to >95% purity, as determined by LC/MS obtained on Agilent 1100 and HP 1100 spectrometers. Silica gel chromatography was performed using either glass columns packed with silica gel (200−400 mesh, Aldrich Chemical) or prepacked silica gel cartridges (Biotage or RediSep). <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were determined with a Bruker 300 MHz or DRX 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm,  $\delta$  units).

The following 4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine derivatives were commercially available: 4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (10b), 4-cyclopropyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (10d), 4-  $(4\text{-chlorophenyl})-4,5,6,7\text{-tetrahydrothieno}[3,2-c]$ pyridine  $(10j)$ , 1- $(4-$ (trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine (44), and 4-methyl-N-phenyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H) carboxamide (12).

(a). General Procedure for the Preparation of Tetrahydro**thienopyridines.** Amide Route. (Step  $1$ ) To a solution of 2-(thiophen-2-yl)ethanamine (7, 20 g, 0.16 mol) and triethylamine (17.8 g, 0.18 mol, 1.1 equiv) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (120 mL) was added the acid chlorides  $(1.05 \text{ equiv})$  dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred until completion of the reaction was determined by TLC (∼2 h). The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with 10% aq HCl, saturated aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$ , and saturated aqueous NaCl. The EtOAc solution was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum to afford the target amides, 8.

(Step 2) To a stirred solution of the amide  $(8)$  in CH<sub>3</sub>CN was added  $\text{POCl}_3$  (4 equiv) dropwise, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue obtained was dissolved in EtOAc, and the mixture was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The organic layer was separated, washed with water and saturated aqueous NaCl, and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography eluting with EtOAc/ hexanes mixtures.

(Step 3) To a stirred solution of the tetrahydrothienopyridines (1.5 mmol) in dry MeOH (10 mL) was added NaBH<sub>4</sub> (2 equiv) at room temperature. Stirring was continued until the completion of the reaction as determined by TLC, ∼2 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water and saturated aqueous NaCl and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography eluting with EtOAc/hexanes mixtures.

The following compounds were prepared according to this general procedure (amide route).

4-Propyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (10a). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ : 1.03  $(t, J = 7.34 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H})$ , 1.70  $(dq, J = 15.28,$ 7.56 Hz, 2 H), 1.98−2.19 (m, 2 H), 3.07−3.19 (m, 1 H), 3.26−3.43  $(m, 2 H)$ , 3.64–3.73  $(m, 1 H)$ , 4.43  $(t, J = 6.16 Hz, 1 H)$ , 6.81  $(d, J =$ 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (d,  $J = 5.28$  Hz, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ . calcd for  $C_{10}H_{15}NS$  182.1; found 182.1.

4-(2-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c] pyridine (10e). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.79−2.92 (m, 1 H), 2.99−3.22 (m, 2 H), 3.29−3.41 (m, 1 H), 5.45 (s, 1 H), 6.33 (d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 6.99 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 7.29−7.39 (m, 2 H), 7.40− 7.49 (m, 1 H), 7.68 (d, J = 7.63 Hz, 1 H), 10.20 (br s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NS 284.1; found 284.0.

4-(3-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c] pyridine (1**0f**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.82–2.91 (m, 1 H), 2.96−3.06 (m, 1 H), 3.09−3.18 (m, 1 H), 3.26−3.35 (m, 1 H), 5.08  $(s, 1 H)$ , 6.43  $(d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H)$ , 7.03  $(d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H)$ , 7.40− 7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.54 (d, J = 7.63 Hz, 1 H), 7.58 (br s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{14}H_{12}F_3NS$  284.1; found 284.0.

4-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c] pyridine (10g). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.70–2.80 (m, 1 H), 2.81−2.99 (m, 2 H), 3.04−3.16 (m, 1 H), 5.01 (s, 1 H), 6.41 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 7.19 (d,  $J = 5.09$  Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 8.02$  Hz, 2 H), 7.67 (d,  $J = 8.02$  Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{14}H_{12}F_3NS$  284.1; found 284.0.

4-(2-Chlorophenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (10h). <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): 2.82−3.00 (m, 2 H), 3.06−3.14 (m, 1 H), 3.15−3.24 (m, 1 H), 5.55 (s, 1 H), 6.50 (d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 7.05 (d, J = 1.00 Hz, 1 H), 7.18 (dtd, J = 18.34, 7.41, 7.41, 1.47 Hz, 2 H), 7.40 (dd, J = 7.73, 1.47 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}C$ INS 249.0; found 249.0.

4-(3-Chlorophenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (10i). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.75−2.90 (m, 1 H), 2.92−3.05 (m, 1 H), 3.06−3.17 (m, 1 H), 3.29 (dt, J = 12.13, 4.79 Hz, 1 H), 5.00 (s, 1 H), 6.46 (d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 7.02 (d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 7.13−7.21 (m, 1 H), 7.23−7.30 (m, 3 H). MS (ESI pos. ion) m/z: calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}C$ lNS 249.0; found 249.0.

 $4-(2$ -Fluorophenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (10k). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.78–3.02 (m, 2 H), 3.07–3.17 (m, 1 H), 3.20−3.32 (m, 1 H), 5.44 (s, 1 H), 6.51 (d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 6.99−7.13 (m, 4 H), 7.19−7.30 (m, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion) m/z: calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}$ FNS 234.1; found 234.1.

4-(3-Fluorophenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (10l). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.79–2.89 (m, 1 H), 2.93–3.04 (m, 1 H), 3.06−3.16 (m, 1 H), 3.29 (dt, J = 12.18, 4.87 Hz, 1 H), 5.02 (s, 1 H), 6.47 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 6.93–7.04 (m, 3 H), 7.08 (d, J = 7.63 Hz, 1 H), 7.27−7.32 (m, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion) m/z: calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}$ FNS 234.1; found 234.1.

4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (10m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.78–2.90 (m, 1 H), 2.93–3.03 (m, 1 H), 3.06−3.16 (m, 1 H), 3.23−3.36 (m, 1 H), 5.01 (s, 1 H), 6.44  $(d, J = 5.09 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 6.95–7.06 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 7.16–7.29 \text{ (m, 2 H)}. \text{ MS}$ (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}$ FNS 234.1; found 234.1.

(b). Amine Route. 4-Phenyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c] pyridine (10n). A solution of 2-(thiophen-2-yl)ethanamine (2.2 mL, 17.4 mmol) and benzaldehyde (1.8 g, 17.4 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) was heated at reflux (Dean-Stark trap, H<sub>2</sub>O removed) for 16 h. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo, and trifluoroacetic acid (30 mL) was cautiously added to the residue. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 d. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc (50 mL), and the solution was washed with 2 M aqueous NaOH solution (50 mL). The organic phase was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography using a mixture of 2% to 4% MeOH in  $CH_2Cl_2$  to give the title compound as an off-white solid (1.46 g, 39%).  $^1\rm H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.63–2.97 (m, 4 H), 3.12 (dt, J = 11.54, 4.50 Hz, 1 H), 4.90 (s, 1 H), 6.38 (d,  $J = 5.09$  Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (d,  $J =$ 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 7.20−7.36 (m, 5 H). MS (ESI pos. ion) m/z: calcd for  $C_{13}H_{13}NS$  216.1; found 216.1.

4-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrofuro[3,2-c] pyridine hydrochloride (41). (Step 1) To a solution of 2-(furan-2 yl)ethanamine (40, 6.39 g, 0.06 mol) and triethylamine (12 mL, 0.08 mol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL) at 0  $^{\circ}$ C was added 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride (8.5 mL, 0.06 mol) dropwise. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with 10% aqueous HCl, saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and saturated aqueous NaCl and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography using a mixture of 1:5 to 1:4 EtOAc/hexanes afforded N-(2-(furan-2-yl)ethyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide as a yellow solid (3.5 g, 22%).

(Step 2) To a stirred solution of phosphorus oxide (5.0 g, 35 mmol) and phosphorus trichloride (5.4 mL, 59 mmol) in benzene (100 mL) at reflux was added N-(2-(furan-2-yl)ethyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl) benzamide (3.4 g, 12 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h and then allowed to cool to room temperature. The solution was poured into crushed ice, basified with potassium carbonate to pH =  $10-11$ , and extracted with benzene. The organic layer was separated, washed with water, and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum. Purification by column chromatography using a mixture of 1:5 to 1:4 EtOAc/hexanes afforded 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydrofuro $[3,2-c]$ pyridine as a red oil (0.94 g, 30%).

(Step  $3$ ) To a stirred solution of the 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydrofuro $[3,2-c]$ pyridine  $(0.61 \text{ g}, 2.3 \text{ mmol})$  in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15) mL) was added  $NaB(OAc)<sub>3</sub>H$  (1.63 g, 7.7 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and cooled to 0  $\degree$ C. An aqueous solution of NaOH (10%) was added slowly to this solution. The organic layer was separated and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum. This material was used in the next step without further purification. To a solution of 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrofuro[3,2-c]pyridine (0.61 g, 2.3 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) at 0 °C were added triethylamine (0.47 mL, 3.4 mmol) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (0.55 g, 2.5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography using a mixture of 1:9 EtOAc/hexanes as eluent to give tert-butyl 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7dihydrofuro $[3,2-c]$ pyridine-5(4H)-carboxylate as a yellow oil (0.7 g, 83%).

(Step 4) To a solution of tert-butyl  $4-(4-(\text{trifluoromethyl})\text{phenyl})$ -6,7-dihydrofuro $[3,2-c]$ pyridine-5(4H)-carboxylate (0.65 g, 1.8 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) was added HCl/dioxane (4.85 M, 5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, and the resulting white precipitate was filtered, washed with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ , and dried under vacuum to give the title compound as a white solid  $(0.52 \text{ g})$ 96%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 2.92–3.04 (m, 1 H), 3.07– 3.21 (m, 1 H), 3.37−3.53 (m, 2 H), 5.76 (br s, 1 H), 6.17 (s, 1 H), 7.68 (d,  $J = 8.53$  Hz, 2 H), 7.71 (s, 1 H), 7.86 (d,  $J = 8.53$  Hz, 2 H), 9.73 (br s, 1 H), 10.47 (br s, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{14}H_{12}F_3NO$  268.1; found 268.1.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Ureas (4–5, 11–23, 32–39, and 45–48). To a solution of the tetrahydrothieno/ furanopyridine (1.9 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4 mL) at room temperature was added 1 equiv of the appropriate isocyanate. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then concentrated in vacuo. The compounds were purified by silica gel chromatography.

N-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-propyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (4). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.00 (t, J = 7.34 Hz, 3 H), 1.47−1.62 (m, 2 H), 1.75−1.87 (m, 2 H), 2.79 (dd, J = 16.14, 2.84 Hz, 1 H), 2.93−3.05 (m, 1 H), 3.21−3.35 (m, 1 H), 4.33  $(dd, J = 13.79, 5.18 Hz, 1 H), 5.03 (t, J = 6.75 Hz, 1 H), 6.38 (s, 1 H),$ 6.81 (d,  $J = 5.09$  Hz, 1 H), 7.12 (d,  $J = 5.09$  Hz, 1 H), 7.23 (d,  $J = 9.00$ Hz, 2 H), 7.27–7.33 (m, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{17}H_{19}CN_2OS$  (M + H): 335.0976; found 335.0970.

N-Phenyl-4-propyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (5). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.00 (t, J = 7.34 Hz, 3 H), 1.55 (sxt, J = 7.43 Hz, 2 H), 1.75−1.92 (m, 2 H), 2.78 (dd, J = 16.04, 2.93 Hz, 1 H), 2.90−3.09 (m, 1 H), 3.27 (ddd, J = 13.64, 12.08, 3.81 Hz, 1 H), 4.35 (dd,  $J = 13.69$ , 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 5.06 (t,  $J = 6.85$  Hz, 1 H), 6.40 (br s, 1 H), 6.81 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 6.99−7.06 (m, 1 H), 7.11 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.43 Hz, 2 H), 7.32−7.39 (m, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{17}H_{20}N_2OS$  (M + H): 301.1360; found 301.1365.

N-Phenyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (11). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.95 (t, J = 5.58 Hz, 2 H), 3.84 (t,  $J = 5.67$  Hz, 2 H), 4.60 (s, 2 H), 6.40 (br s, 1 H), 6.82 (d,  $J = 5.09$  Hz, 1 H), 7.05 (t, J = 7.34 Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.92 Hz, 2 H), 7.37 (d, J = 7.61 Hz, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{14}H_{14}N_2OS$  (M + H): 259.0897; found 259.0900.

4-Cyclopropyl-N-phenyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)  $carboxamide$  (13).  $\rm{^1H}$  NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.57–0.78 (m, 4 H), 1.15−1.34 (m, 1 H), 2.77−2.89 (m, 1 H), 2.91−3.09 (m, 1 H), 3.40−3.56 (m, 1 H), 4.29 (dd, J = 13.96, 5.33 Hz, 1 H), 4.67 (d, J = 8.33 Hz, 1 H), 6.39 (s, 1 H), 6.92 (d, J = 5.12 Hz, 1 H), 6.98−7.07 (m, 1 H), 7.12 (d, J = 5.12 Hz, 1 H), 7.27−7.39 (m, 4 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{17}H_{18}N_2OS (M + H)$ : 299.1209; found 299.1210.

N,4-Diphenyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (14). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.84–3.02 (m, 2 H), 3.03−3.15 (m, 1 H), 4.28 (dd, J = 13.89, 4.50 Hz, 1 H), 6.56 (s, 1 H), 6.83 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (t, J = 7.34 Hz, 1 H), 7.19–7.30 (m, 5 H), 7.30−7.36 (m, 2 H), 7.39 (d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (d, J = 7.63 Hz, 2 H), 8.67 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{20}H_{18}N_2OS$  (M + H): 335.1209; found 335.1220.

N-Phenyl-4-(2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c] pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (15).  $\rm{^{1}H}$  NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 2.79−3.01 (m, 2 H), 3.33−3.45 (m, 1 H), 4.11−4.25 (m, 1 H), 6.63  $(d, J = 5.12 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 6.82 \text{ (s, 1 H)}, 6.88-6.98 \text{ (m, 1 H)}, 7.16 \text{ (s, 1 H)},$ 7.23 (d, J = 7.45 Hz, 2 H), 7.36 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (dd, J = 8.55, 1.10 Hz, 2 H), 7.52 (t, J = 7.50 Hz, 1 H), 7.56−7.64 (m, 1 H), 7.79 (d, J = 6.72 Hz, 1 H), 8.87 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{17}F_3N_2OS$  (M + H): 403.1083; found 403.1090.

N-Phenyl-4-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c] pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (16).  $\rm ^1H$  NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.82−3.19 (m, 3 H), 4.20−4.41 (m, 1 H), 6.63 (s, 1 H), 6.88 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 6.92–7.02 (m, 1 H), 7.25 (t, J = 7.89 Hz, 2 H), 7.43 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 − 7. 48 (m, 2 H), 7.51 − 7.64 (m, 3 H), 7.68 (d,  $J = 8.90$  Hz, 1 H), 8.75 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{17}F_3N_2OS$  (M + H): 403.1083; found 403.1080.

N-Phenyl-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c] pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (17).  $\rm ^1H$  NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.81−3.18 (m, 3 H), 4.24−4.35 (m, 1 H), 6.63 (s, 1 H), 6.88 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 6.92−7.01 (m, 1 H), 7.19−7.29 (m, 2 H), 7.43 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (d,  $J = 7.60$  Hz, 3 H), 7.72 (d,  $J = 8.18$  Hz, 2 H), 8.73 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{17}F_3N_2OS$  (M + H): 403.1083; found 403.1088.

4-(2-Chlorophenyl)-N-phenyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (18). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 2.84– 2.97 (m, 2 H), 3.17−3.26 (m, 1 H), 4.15−4.29 (m, 1 H), 6.73−6.77  $(m, 2 H)$ , 6.90–6.96  $(m, 2 H)$ , 7.22  $(t, J = 7.92 Hz, 2 H)$ , 7.27  $(dd, J =$ 7.53, 1.47 Hz, 1 H), 7.33 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 7.41−7.46 (m, 2 H), 7.49 (dd, J = 7.83, 1.37 Hz, 1 H), 8.82 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{20}H_{17}C\text{IN}_2OS (M + H)$ : 369.0820; found 369.0830.

4-(3-Chlorophenyl)-N-phenyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (19). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.87−2.98  $(m, 1 H)$ , 3.02−3.17  $(m, 1 H)$ , 3.31−3.45  $(m, 1 H)$ , 4.08  $(dd, I =$ 14.38, 4.21 Hz, 1 H), 6.38 (s, 1 H), 6.44 (s, 1 H), 6.73 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 7.01−7.08 (m, 1 H), 7.17 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 7.27−7.37 (m, 8 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{20}H_{17}CN_2OS$  (M + H): 369.0820; found 369.0820.

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-N-phenyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (20). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.85–2.99 (m, 1 H), 3.01−3.17 (m, 1 H), 3.36 (ddd, J = 14.03, 11.69, 3.95 Hz, 1 H), 4.07 (dd, J = 13.96, 4.17 Hz, 1 H), 6.39 (s, 1 H), 6.44 (s, 1 H), 6.70 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 7.05 (tt, J = 6.36, 2.19 Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 7.27–7.35 (m, 8 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{20}H_{17}C/N_2OS$ (M + H): 369.0820; found 369.0829.

4-(2-Fluorophenyl)-N-phenyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (21). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 2.92 (d, J = 4.38 Hz, 2 H), 3.13−3.31 (m, 1 H), 4.24−4.36 (m, 1 H), 6.73 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.77 (s, 1 H), 6.90−6.98 (m, 1 H), 7.01 (dd, J = 7.51, 1.43 Hz, 1 H), 7.09−7.19 (m, 1 H), 7.19−7.28 (m, 3 H), 7.30−7.40 (m, 2 H), 7.41−7.50 (m, 2 H) 8.73 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{20}H_{17}FN_2OS$  (M + H): 353.1115; found 353.1120.

4-(3-Fluorophenyl)-N-phenyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (22). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.79– 3.22 (m, 3 H), 4.20−4.35 (m, 1 H), 6.55 (s, 1 H), 6.87 (d,  $J = 5.12$  Hz, 1 H), 6.92−7.05 (m, 2 H), 7.06−7.18 (m, 2 H), 7.25 (t, J = 7.89 Hz, 2 H), 7.34−7.44 (m, 2 H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.55, 0.95 Hz, 2 H), 8.71 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{20}H_{17}FN_2OS$  353.1; found 353.0.

4-(4-Fluorophenyl)-N-phenyl-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (23). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.81– 3.18 (m, 3 H), 4.19–4.36 (m, 1 H), 6.55 (s, 1 H), 6.82 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 6.91−7.01 (m, 1 H), 7.11−7.32 (m, 6 H), 7.40 (d, J = 5.12 Hz, 1 H), 7.47 (dd,  $J = 8.55$ , 0.95 Hz, 2 H), 8.69 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{20}H_{17}FN_2OS$  353.1; found 353.0.

N-Isopropyl-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2  $clpyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide$  (32). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ): 1.07 (dd, J = 6.50, 2.56 Hz, 6 H), 2.72–3.03 (m, 3 H), 3.38 – 3.59  $(m, 1 H)$ , 3.82 (d, J = 6.87 Hz, 1 H), 4.08 (d, J = 9.50 Hz, 1 H), 6.43  $(d, J = 7.60 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 6.49 \text{ (s, 1 H)}, 6.83 \text{ (d, } J = 5.26 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.37-$ 7.44 (m, 3 H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{19}F_3N_2OS (M + H)$ : 369.1239; found 369.1230.

N-Cyclopropyl-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydrothieno- [3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (33).  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): 0.33−0.43 (m, 2 H), 0.50−0.61 (m, 2 H), 2.53−2.62  $(m, 1 H)$ , 2.68–3.01  $(m, 3 H)$ , 3.99  $(d, J = 8.92 Hz, 1 H)$ , 6.46  $(s, 1 H)$ H), 6.83 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 2 H), 7.35–7.44 (m, 3 H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.04 Hz, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{17}F_3N_2OS(M + H)$ : 367.1083; found 367.1090.

Morpholino(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2  $c$ ]pyridin-5(4H)-yl)methanone (34). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ): 2.95 (d, J = 4.68 Hz, 2 H), 3.03–3.39 (m, 5 H), 3.46–3.74 (m, 5 H), 6.03 (s, 1 H), 6.75 (d,  $J = 5.12$  Hz, 1 H), 7.35 (d,  $J = 5.12$  Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{19}H_{19}F_3N_2O_2S$  (M + H): 397.1188; found 397.1190.

N-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7 dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (35).  $\mathrm{^{1}H}$  NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$ : 2.81–3.15 (m, 3 H), 3.71 (s, 3 H), 4.27 (d, J = 10.82 Hz, 1 H), 6.60 (s, 1 H), 6.79−6.91 (m, 3 H), 7.31−7.39 (m, 2 H), 7.42 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H), 7.72 (d, J  $= 8.18$  Hz, 2 H), 8.58 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{22}H_{19}F_3N_2O_2S$  (M + H): 433.1188; found 433.1198.

N-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7  $d$ ihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (36). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): 2.84-3.18 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 4.20-4.37 \text{ (m, 1 H)},$ 6.61 (s, 1 H), 6.88 (d, J = 5.12 Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (dd, J = 7.89, 1.32 Hz, 1 H), 7.27 (t, J = 8.11 Hz, 1 H), 7.40−7.53 (m, 4 H), 7.65−7.69 (m, 1 H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H), 8.91 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{16}ClF_3N_2OS (M + H): 437.0694$ ; found 437.0700.

N-(2-Fluorophenyl)-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7 dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (37).  $\mathrm{^{1}H~NMR}$  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6): 2.83-3.22 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 4.16-4.33 \text{ (m, 1 H)},$ 6.58 (s, 1 H), 6.87 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 7.07–7.27 (m, 3 H), 7.36– 7.45 (m, 2 H), 7.49 (s, 2 H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H), 8.57 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{17}F_4N_2OS$  (M + H): 421.0989; found 421.0990.

N-(3-Fluorophenyl)-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7 dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (38).  ${}^{1}$ H NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$ : 2.82–3.21 (m, 3 H), 4.19–4.39 (m, 1 H), 6.62 (s, 1 H), 6.69–6.83 (m, 1 H), 6.88 (d, J = 5.12 Hz, 1 H), 7.21– 7.34 (m, 2 H), 7.38−7.55 (m, 4 H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H), 8.93 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{17}F_4N_2OS (M + H)$ : 421.0989; found 421.0980.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7 dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (39).  ${}^{1}$ H NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$ : 2.81–3.22 (m, 3 H), 4.18–4.37 (m, 1 H), 6.61 (s, 1 H), 6.88 (d, J = 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 7.01–7.16 (m, 2 H), 7.36– 7.58 (m, 5 H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H), 8.77 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{17}F_4N_2OS$  (M + H): 421.0989; found 421.0990.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-7-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5 dihydrothieno[2,3-c]pyridine-6(7H)-carboxamide (45). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$ : 2.81–3.17 (m, 3 H), 4.23–4.32 (m, 1 H), 6.61 (s, 1 H), 6.88 (d,  $J = 5.52$  Hz, 1 H), 7.09 (t,  $J = 8.78$  Hz, 2 H), 7.42 (d, J = 5.02 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (s, 1 H), 7.45−7.53 (m, 4 H), 7.72 (d,

### **Journal of Medicinal Chemistry Article** Article 1996 and 2008 and 20

 $J = 8.03$  Hz, 2 H), 8.77 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{17}F_4N_2OS$  (M + H): 421.0989; found 421.1000.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7 dihydrofuro[3,2-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (**46**).  $\mathrm{^{1}H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.69 (dd, J = 16.31, 3.26 Hz, 1 H), 2.81–2.97 (m, 1 H), 2.98−3.14 (m, 1 H), 4.31 (dd, J = 14.05, 5.02 Hz, 1 H), 6.50 (d, J  $= 14.56$  Hz, 2 H), 7.09 (t, J = 9.03 Hz, 2 H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.53, 5.02 Hz, 2 H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.03 Hz, 2 H), 7.64 (s, 1 H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.03 Hz, 2 H), 8.78 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{16}F_4N_2O_2$  (M + H): 405.1217; found 405.1210.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-7-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5 dihydrofuro[2,3-c]pyridine-6(7H)-carboxamide (**47**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.53 (dd, J = 13.7, 3.01 Hz, 1 H), 2.63–2.79 (m, 1 H), 2.93−3.09 (m, 1 H), 4.28 (dd, J = 14.31, 4.77 Hz, 1 H), 6.51 (d, J  $= 11.04$  Hz, 2 H), 7.09 (t, J = 8.78 Hz, 2 H), 7.43–7.54 (m, 4 H), 7.67  $(s, 1 H)$ , 7.76 (d,  $J = 8.03$  Hz, 2 H), 8.81 (s, 1 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{16}F_{4}N_{2}O_{2}$  (M + H): 405.1217; found 405.1234.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3,4 dihydropyrrolo[1,2-a]pyrazine-2(1H)-carboxamide (<mark>48</mark>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): 3.62 (ddd, J = 12.79, 8.92, 3.87 Hz, 1 H), 3.95− 4.29 (m, 3 H), 5.89−6.07 (m, 1 H), 6.20 (dd, J = 3.51, 2.78 Hz, 1 H), 6.30 (s, 1 H), 6.41 (s, 1 H), 6.68 (dd, J = 2.63, 1.61 Hz, 1 H), 6.92− 7.04 (m, 2 H), 7.17−7.25 (m, 2 H), 7.45−7.54 (m, 2 H), 7.56−7.67 (m, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{17}F_4N_3O$  (M + H): 404.1377; found 404.1370.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Amines (24−26). To a stirred solution of 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7 tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (10g, 0.7 mmol) in dichloroethane (DCE) (5 mL) at room temperature was added 1 equiv of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) followed by 1 equiv of the corresponding ketone/aldehyde and then 3 equiv of  $NaB(OAc)_{3}H$ . The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and quenched by the addition of a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. The reaction mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and evaporated under reduced pressure vacuum to give the crude compound. Purifications were carried out by chromatography on silica gel eluting with EtOAc/ hexanes mixtures.

5-Isopropyl-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7 tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (**24**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ): 0.97 (dd, J = 12.20, 6.65 Hz, 6 H), 2.55–2.75 (m, 2 H), 2.82–2.94 (m, 2 H), 3.10−3.22 (m, 1 H), 4.84 (s, 1 H), 6.25 (d, J = 5.12 Hz, 1 H), 7.13 (d,  $J = 5.12$  Hz, 1 H), 7.58 (dd,  $J = 8.04$ , 1.00 Hz, 2 H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{17}H_{18}F_3NS$  (M + H): 326.1181; found 326.1180.

5-Isobutyl-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7 tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (25). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ): 0.74 (dd, J = 9.43, 6.50 Hz, 6 H), 1.73–1.90 (m, 1 H), 1.95–2.08 (m, 1 H), 2.18 (dd, J = 12.28, 5.26 Hz, 1 H), 2.53−2.57 (m, 1 H), 2.79−3.01 (m, 2 H), 3.18 (dt, J = 11.73, 4.51 Hz, 1 H), 4.52 (s, 1 H), 6.28 (d,  $J = 5.12$  Hz, 1 H), 7.18 (d,  $J = 5.12$  Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 8.04$ Hz, 2 H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.04 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{18}H_{20}F_3NS$  340.1; found 340.1.

5-Benzyl-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno- [3,2-c]pyridine (26). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.56 (dt, J = 11.40, 4.60 Hz, 1 H), 2.75−2.92 (m, 2 H), 2.96−3.06 (m, 1 H), 3.39  $(d, J = 12.30 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 3.64 (d, J = 13.74 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 4.70 (s, 1 \text{ H}), 6.33$  $(d, J = 5.12 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.20 (d, J = 5.26 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.22-7.36 \text{ (m, 5 H)},$ 7.64 (dd,  $J = 8.33$ , 1.00 Hz, 2 H), 7.73 (dd,  $J = 8.18$ , 1.00 Hz, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{18}F_3NS$  (M + H): 374.1181; found 374.1190.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Amides (27−31). To a solution of 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7 tetrahydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridine (10g, 100 mg, 0.35 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4 mL) at room temperature were added 1.1 equiv of triethylamine and 1.05 equiv of the corresponding acid chloride. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then concentrated in vacuo. Purifications were carried out by chromatography on silica gel eluting with 50% EtOAc/hexanes.

2-Methyl-1-(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydrothieno[3,2 c]pyridin-5(4H)-yl)propan-1-one (27).  $\rm ^1H$  NMR (300 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ): 1.03 (dd, J = 15.27, 6.65 Hz, 6 H), 2.87–3.05 (m, 3 H), 3.09–3.26

 $(m, 1 H)$ , 4.13 (d, J = 13.45 Hz, 1 H), 6.77 (s, 1 H), 6.87 (d, J = 5.41) Hz, 1 H), 7.30–7.47 (m, 3 H), 7.71 (d,  $J = 8.04$  Hz, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{18}F_3NOS(M + H)$ : 354.1130; found 354.1130.

(2-Fluorophenyl)(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7 dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridin-5(4H)-yl)methanone (28). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.87–2.96 (m, 1 H), 3.02–3.14 (m, 1 H), 3.20−3.28 (m, 1 H), 4.07 (br s, 1 H), 6.54 (br s, 1 H), 6.85 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 7.46−7.57 (m, 3 H), 7.57−7.64  $(m, 1 H)$ , 7.75  $(d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H)$ , 7.86  $(d, J = 7.43 Hz, 2 H)$ . HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{15}F_4NOS (M + H)$ : 406.0880; found 406.0870.

(3-Fluorophenyl)(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7  $di$ hydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridin-5(4H)-yl)methanone (29). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.80−2.92 (m, 1 H), 2.95−3.08 (m, 1 H), 3.19−3.31 (m, 1 H), 3.66−3.69 (m, 1 H), 6.84 (br s, 1 H), 6.91 (d, J = 5.28 Hz, 1 H), 7.25 (d, J = 7.04 Hz, 1 H), 7.30–7.38 (m, 2 H), 7.41– 7.59 (m, 4 H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.02 Hz, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{15}F_4NOS (M + H)$ : 406.0880; found 406.0870.

(4-Fluorophenyl)(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7 dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridin-5(4H)-yl)methanone (**30**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 2.82–2.94 (m, 1 H), 2.95–3.09 (m, 1 H),  $3.18 - 3.33$  (m, 1 H),  $3.67$  (br s, 1 H),  $6.84$  (br s, 1 H),  $6.90$  (d, J = 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (t, J = 8.90 Hz, 2 H), 7.42−7.58 (m, 5 H), 7.75 (d,  $J = 8.22$  Hz, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{21}H_{15}F_4NOS(M + H)$ : 406.0880; found 406.0890.

2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7 dihydrothieno[3,2-c]pyridin-5(4H)-yl)ethanone (31).  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 2.76−2.94 (m, 2 H), 3.08−3.22 (m, 1 H), 3.32 (s, 3 H), 4.13 (dd,  $J = 13.88$ , 3.65 Hz, 1 H), 6.76 (s, 1 H), 6.85 (d,  $J = 5.26$ Hz, 1 H), 7.06−7.16 (m, 2 H), 7.22−7.31 (m, 2 H), 7.34−7.45 (m, 3 H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H). HRMS calcd for  $C_{22}H_{17}F_4NOS$  (M + H): 420.1036; found 420.1030.

5-Bromo-N-methoxy-N-methylthiazole-4-carboxamide (50). To a 100 mL round-bottomed flask were added 5-bromothiazole-4 carboxylic acid (49; 0.98 g, 4.7 mmol), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL), and  $N-(3$ dimethylaminopropyl)-N-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (11.08 g, 5.6 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 min. To this mixture, N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (0.55 g, 5.6 mmol) and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.98 mL, 5.6 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h, diluted with water (40 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (50 mL). The organic extract was washed with water (20 mL) and saturated aqueous NaCl (20 mL), dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with 50% EtOAc/hexanes to give the title compound (0.68 g, 58%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.37 (s, 3 H), 3.73 (s, 3 H), 8.76 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion) m/z: calcd for  $C_6H_7BrN_2O_2S$  250.9; found 250.9.

5-Bromothiazole-4-carbaldehyde (51). To a 100 mL roundbottomed flask were added 5-bromo-N-methoxy-N-methylthiazole-4 carboxamide (50, 0.63 g, 2.5 mmol) and THF (10 mL). The reaction mixture was cooled to −78 °C, and diisobutylaluminum hydride (2.7 mL, 2.7 mmol, 1 M in THF) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 1 h and then quenched by the addition of MeOH (1 mL). The reaction mixture was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic extracts were washed with water (10 mL), saturated aqueous NaCl (10 mL), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound (0.42 g, 84%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.85 (s, 1 H), 10.12 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>BrNOS 192.9; found 192.9.

(5-Bromothiazol-4-yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanol (52). To a suspension of magnesium turnings (0.11 g, 4.4 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added 1-bromo-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (0.62 mL, 4.4 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 2 h and cooled to 0 °C. To this solution, 5-bromothiazole-4-carbaldehyde (51, 0.42 g, 2.2 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise. After the addition was completed, the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, then the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH4Cl (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (40 mL). The organic extract was washed with water and saturated aqueous NaCl,

dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with 40% EtOAc/ hexanes to give the title compound  $(0.51 \text{ g}, 69\%)$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR  $(300$ MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.28 (d, J = 7.75 Hz, 1 H), 6.03 (d, J = 7.75 Hz, 1 H), 7.50−7.70 (m, 4 H), 8.78 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion) m/z: calcd for  $C_{11}H_{7}BrF_{3}NOS$  338.9; found 338.9.

(E)-2-(2-(4-(Hydroxy(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methyl)thiazol-5 yl)vinyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (53). To a 50 mL round-bottomed flask were added (5-bromothiazol-4-yl)(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) methanol (52, 0.25 g, 0.75 mmol), N-vinylphthalimide (0.14 g, 0.82 mmol), acetato(2'-di-t-butylphosphino-1,1'-biphenyl-2-yl)palladium-(II) (35 mg, 75  $\mu$ mol), triethylamine (0.10 mL, 0.75 mmol), and DMF (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 24 h and cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 40$  mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with 40% EtOAc/ hexanes to give the title compound  $(56 \text{ mg}, 17\%)$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR  $(300$ MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.19 (d, J = 4.24 Hz, 1 H), 3.55 (d, J = 7.02 Hz, 1 H), 6.00−6.16 (m, 2 H), 7.14 (dd, J = 1.97, 0.80 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (d, J = 1 4.76 Hz, 1 H), 7.52−7.68 (m, 6 H), 7.79 (dd, J = 5.48, 3.14 Hz, 2 H), 7.89−7.96 (m, 2 H), 8.63 (s, 1 H), 8.80 (d, J = 1.90 Hz, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{21}H_{13}F_3N_2O_3S$  431.1; found 431.0.

2-(2-(4-(Hydroxy(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methyl)thiazol-5-yl) ethyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (54). To a 50 mL round-bottomed flask were added  $(E)$ -2- $(2-(4-(\text{hydroxy}(4-(\text{trifluoromethyl})\text{phenyl})\text{methyl})$ thiazol-5-yl)vinyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (53, 0.12 g, 0.27 mmol), 10% palladium on carbon (2.9 mg, 27  $\mu$ mol), and MeOH (2 mL). The reaction mixture was hydrogenated under 1 atm of hydrogen for 6 days. The solution was filtered to remove the catalyst through a pad of Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with 50% EtOAc/hexanes to give the title compound (28 mg, 24%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.29  $(t, J = 7.09$  Hz, 2 H), 3.49 (d, J = 6.87 Hz, 1 H), 3.88–4.00 (m, 2 H), 6.01 (d, J = 6.72 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (s, 4 H), 7.55−7.66 (m, 1 H), 7.67− 7.76 (m, 2 H), 7.76−7.87 (m, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion) m/z: calcd for  $C_{21}H_{15}F_3N_2O_3S$  433.1; found 433.0.

2-(2-(4-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)thiazol-5-yl)ethyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (55). To a 50 mL round-bottomed flask were added 2-(2-(4- (hydroxy(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methyl)thiazol-5-yl)ethyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (54, 26 mg, 60  $\mu$ mol), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL), and manganese(IV) oxide (104 mg, 1.2 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The solid was removed by filtration, washed with EtOAc, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with 30% EtOAc/hexanes to give the title compound (22 mg, 85%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.71 (t, J = 6.43 Hz, 2 H), 4.11 (t, J = 6.43 Hz, 2 H), 7.60−7.71 (m, 4 H), 7.72−7.83 (m, 2 H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.04 Hz, 2 H), 8.64 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{21}H_{13}F_{3}N_{2}O_{3}S$  431.1; found 431.0.

4-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydrothiazolo[4,5-c]pyridine (56). To a 50 mL round-bottomed flask were added 2-(2-(4-(4- (trifluoromethyl)benzoyl)thiazol-5-yl)ethyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (55, 22 mg, 51  $\mu$ mol), EtOH (1 mL), and anhydrous hydrazine (8  $\mu$ L, 0.26 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 days, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with 80% EtOAc/hexanes to give the title compound  $(6 \text{ mg}, 42\% \text{ yield})$ .  $^1$ H NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ : 3.03−3.19 (m, 2 H), 3.99−4.15 (m, 2 H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H), 8.11 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H), 8.68 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{13}H_9F_3N_2S$  283.0; found 283.0.

4-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothiazolo[4,5-c] pyridine (57). To a 50 mL round-bottomed flask were added 4-(4-  $(\text{trifluorometry})$ phenyl)-6,7-dihydrothiazolo $[4,5-c]$ pyridine (56, 6 mg, 21  $\mu$ mol), MeOH (1 mL), and NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1 mg, 21  $\mu$ mol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with 10% MeOH/EtOAc to give the title compound (5 mg, 83%). <sup>1</sup> H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): 2.85−3.36 (m,

4 H), 5.27 (s, 1 H), 7.44 (d,  $J = 8.33$  Hz, 2 H), 7.59 (d,  $J = 8.18$  Hz, 2 H), 8.60 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{13}H_{11}F_3N_2S$ 285.0; found 285.0.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7 dihydrothiazolo[4,5-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (58). To a 25 mL round-bottomed flask were added 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)- 4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothiazolo[4,5-c]pyridine (57, 5 mg, 18  $\mu$ mol), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL), and 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate (2 mg, 18  $\mu$ mol). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with 40% EtOAc/hexanes to give the title compound (5 mg, 67%). <sup>1</sup> H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): 2.92−3.06 (m, 1 H), 3.08−3.24  $(m, 1 H)$ , 3.28–3.44  $(m, 1 H)$ , 4.36  $(dd, J = 13.88, 4.68 Hz, 1 H)$ , 6.36 (s, 1 H), 6.53 (s, 1 H), 6.93−7.07 (m, 2 H), 7.19−7.36 (m, 2 H), 7.52−7.70 (m, 4 H), 8.73 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion) m/z: calcd for  $C_{20}H_{15}F_{4}N_{3}OS$  422.0942; found 422.0951.

4-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-imidazo[4,5 c]pyridine (60). To a 100 mL round-bottomed flask were added histamine dihydrochloride (59, 0.19 g, 1.03 mmol), potassium hydroxide (0.18 g, 3.2 mmol), and water (1 mL). The reaction mixture was treated with 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzaldehyde (0.14 mL, 1.03 mmol) in EtOH (2.5 mL) followed by the addition of water (12.5 mL). The reaction mixture was heated at 100 °C for 4 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration. The crude product was dissolved into MeOH/H2O and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound as a white solid  $(94 \text{ mg}, 34\%)$ .  $^1\text{H NMR}$   $(300$ MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 2.59−2.88 (m, 2 H), 3.02 (ddd, J = 12.61, 7.20, 5.19 Hz, 1 H), 3.08−3.23 (m, 1 H), 5.07 (s, 1 H), 7.38−7.55 (m, 3 H), 7.56–7.68 (m, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}F_3N_3$ 268.1; found 268.0.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide (61). To a 5 mL microwave vial, 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1Himidazo[4,5-c]pyridine (60, 94 mg, 0.35 mmol), 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate (0.040 mL, 0.36 mmol), and  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The resulting precipitate was collected via filtration and washed with  $CH_2Cl_2$  to yield the title compound as a white solid (87 mg, 61%). <sup>1</sup> H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6): 2.54−2.66 (m, 1 H), 2.70− 3.10 (m, 2 H), 4.29−4.44 (m, 1 H), 6.40 (s, 1 H), 7.08 (t, J = 8.92 Hz, 2 H), 7.41−7.55 (m, 2 H), 7.58−7.80 (m, 5 H), 8.78 (s, 1 H), 12.02 (br s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{20}H_{16}F_4N_4O$  405.1; found 405.0.

tert-Butyl 4-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7-dihydro-1H-imidazo- [4,5-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxylate (62). To a 100 mL roundbottomed flask, 4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1Himidazo[4,5-c]pyridine (60, 0.58 g, 2.2 mmol), di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (0.54 g, 2.5 mmol), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.28 g, 3.2 mmol), and MeOH (10 mL) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc (20 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (20 mL) and saturated aqueous NaCl (20 mL), dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography (iPrOH (w/10% NH<sub>4</sub>OH) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> 0−10%) to afford the title compound as a pale-yellow solid (0.59 g, 74%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 1.43 (s, 9 H), 2.54– 2.80 (m, 2 H), 2.84−2.99 (m, 1 H), 4.34 (d, J = 4.09 Hz, 1 H), 6.03  $(br s, 1 H), 7.52–7.60 (m, 3 H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.18 Hz, 2 H), 12.02 (br)$ s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{18}H_{20}F_3N_3O_2$  368.2; found 368.0.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-methyl-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-6,7 dihydro-1H-imidazo[4,5-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxamide  $(63)$ . To a 5 mL microwave vial were added iodomethane (0.18 mL, 2.91 mmol), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.16 g, 1.95 mmol), tert-butyl  $4-(4-(\text{trifluoromethyl})-\text{erf}(\text{trifluoromethyl})$ phenyl)-6,7-dihydro-1H-imidazo<br/>[4,5-c]pyridine-5(4H)-carboxylate (62, 0.36 g, 0.97 mmol), and DMF (4 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between water (20 mL) and EtOAc (20 mL). The organic phase was taken and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> ( $2 \times 20$  mL). The organic phase was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was taken into  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL), and TFA (2 mL) was added. The yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (30 mL) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL). The aqueous phase was taken and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL), and 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate (0.05 mL, 0.13 mmol) was added. The resulting yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction mixture was directly purified by silica gel chromatography (eluent iPrOH (w/ 10% NH<sub>4</sub>OH) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> 0–10%) to afford the title compound as a white solid (19 mg, 5%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 2.59 (dd, J  $= 15.35, 3.22$  Hz, 1 H) 2.68–3.05 (m, 2 H) 3.56 (s, 3 H) 4.32–4.51 (m, 1 H) 6.38 (s, 1 H) 7.02−7.14 (m, 2 H) 7.43−7.55 (m, 2 H) 7.57− 7.76 (m, 5 H) 8.80 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{21}H_{18}F_{4}N_{4}O$  419.1486; found 419.1490.

N-Phenethyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide (65a). To a solution of phenethylamine (64a, 14.6 mL, 0.12 mol) and N-ethyl-Nisopropylpropan-2-amine  $(20.1 \text{ mL}, 0.12 \text{ mol})$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $(500 \text{ mL})$ at 0 °C was added 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzoyl chloride (17.2 mL, 0.12 mol) dropwise. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 16 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with water, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organic extracts were dried over  $Na<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum to give the title compound as an off-white solid (31.8 g, 94%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 2.94 (t, J = 7.34 Hz, 2 H), 3.63 (t, J = 7.34 Hz, 2 H), 7.15−7.35 (m, 5 H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO 294.1; found 294.1.

1-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (67a). To a round-bottomed flask were added N-phenethyl-4- (trifluoromethyl)benzamide (65a, 5.0 g, 17.0 mmol), phosphorus(V) oxide (1.21 g, 8.5 mmol), and polyphosphoric acid (60 g). The reaction mixture was heated at 165 °C for 2 h and allowed to cool to room temperature. The reaction mixture was carefully poured into an ice-cold solution of 20% KOH, adjusting the pH to 7. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc  $(2x)$ , the combined organic extracts were dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum to give 66a, which was used without further purification. To a crude solution of 1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline (66a, 4.69 g, 17.0 mmol) in MeOH (25 mL) at 0 °C was added NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1.93 g, 51.1 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min and at room temperature for 2 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the reaction mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with EtOAc  $(2x)$ . The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude material. Purification by silica gel chromatography with 20−100% EtOAc/hexanes as eluent provided the title compound as a white solid  $(1.99 \text{ g}, 42\%)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400$ MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 2.84−2.95 (m, 1 H), 2.99−3.10 (m, 2 H), 3.16−3.25  $(m, 1 H)$ , 5.19  $(s, 1 H)$ , 6.69  $(d, J = 7.82 Hz, 1 H)$ , 7.05  $(dd, J = 8.02$ , 2.15 Hz, 1 H),  $7.13-7.23$  (m, 2 H),  $7.44$  (d,  $J = 8.22$  Hz, 2 H),  $7.64$ (d,  $J = 8.02$  Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N 278.1; found 278.1

Compounds 67b−e were prepared in an analogous manner to that described for 67a.

5-Fluoro-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (**67b**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.66–2.82 (m, 2 H), 2.85−2.97 (m, 1 H), 3.00−3.11 (m, 2 H), 5.11 (s, 1 H), 6.49 (d, J = 7.63 Hz, 1 H), 6.99 (t, J = 9.00 Hz, 1 H), 7.04−7.12 (m, 1 H), 7.49 (d,  $J = 8.22$  Hz, 2 H), 7.68 (d,  $J = 8.22$  Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ . calcd for  $C_{16}H_{13}F_4N$  296.1; found 296.1

6-Fluoro-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (**67c**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 2.82–2.94 (m, 1 H), 2.96−3.11 (m, 2 H), 3.13−3.23 (m, 1 H), 5.16 (s, 1 H), 6.70 (dd, J = 8.51, 5.77 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (dt,  $J = 8.40$ , 2.70 Hz, 1 H), 6.94 (dd,  $J =$ 9.59, 0.39 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (d,  $J = 8.02$  Hz, 2 H), 7.65 (d,  $J = 8.02$  Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>4</sub>N 296.1; found 296.1

7-Fluoro-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (67d). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>4</sub>N 296.1; found 296.1

1-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-benzo[c] azepine (67e). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N 292.1; found 292.1

General Procedure for the Preparation of N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolines (68−72). To a solution of the tetrahydroisoquinoline/benzoazepine, 67a−e (1.9 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4 mL) at room temperature was added 1 equiv of 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then concentrated in vacuo. Purification was carried out by chromatography on silica gel to give compounds 68−72.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxamide (68). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 2.82 (dt, J = 16.24, 5.58 Hz, 1 H), 2.96–3.10 (m, 1 H), 3.60 (ddd, J = 12.96, 8.17, 5.09 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (dt, J = 12.62, 6.02 Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (s, 1 H), 6.93−7.07 (m, 2 H), 7.19−7.33 (m, 4 H), 7.35−7.48 (m, 4 H), 7.61 (d,  $J = 8.22$  Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}F_{4}N_{2}O$  415.1424; found 415.1420.

The mixture was resolved using chiral SFC (OJH column,  $21 \times 250$ mm<sup>2</sup>, 5  $\mu$ M) using 70% supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>/30% iPrOH (0.2%) diethylamine) at a flow rate of 65 mL/min) to give two products with enantiomeric excesses greater than 99%.

(R)-N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxamide (87). First eluting peak. <sup>I</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 2.82 (dt,  $J = 16.04$ , 5.58 Hz, 1 H), 2.94−3.09 (m, 1 H), 3.60 (ddd, J = 12.91, 8.12, 5.18 Hz, 1 H), 3.81− 3.93 (m, 1 H), 6.64 (s, 1 H), 6.96−7.07 (m, 2 H), 7.20−7.32 (m, 4 H), 7.34−7.47 (m, 4 H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H). Anal.  $(C_{23}H_{18}F_4N_2O)$ : C, H, N.

(S)-N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxamide (88). Second eluting peak. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 2.82 (dt, J = 16.19, 5.60 Hz, 1 H), 3.03  $(ddd, J = 16.09, 8.17, 5.67 Hz, 1 H$ , 3.60  $(ddd, J = 13.01, 8.12, 5.09$ Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (dt, J = 12.47, 5.99 Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (s, 1 H), 6.96–7.08  $(m, 2 H)$ , 7.20–7.33  $(m, 4 H)$ , 7.36–7.46  $(m, 4 H)$ , 7.61  $(d, J = 8.41)$ Hz, 2 H). Anal.  $(C_{23}H_{18}F_4N_2O)$ : C, H, N.

5-Fluoro-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxamide (69).  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): 2.74−2.86 (m, 1 H), 2.88−3.01 (m, 1 H), 3.23−3.40 (m, 1 H), 3.95−4.13 (m, 1 H), 6.66 (s, 1 H), 7.02−7.20 (m, 4 H), 7.25− 7.36 (m, 1 H), 7.39−7.52 (m, 4 H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.53 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{23}H_{17}F_5N_2O$  433.1330; found 433.1320.

6-Fluoro-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxamide (70).  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 2.83 (dt, J = 16.38, 5.40 Hz, 1 H), 2.98–3.10 (m, 1 H), 3.55 (ddd, J = 13.20, 8.41, 4.99 Hz, 1 H), 3.84−3.95 (m, 1 H), 6.65 (s, 1 H), 6.96−7.09 (m, 4 H), 7.26 (dd, J = 8.31, 5.58 Hz, 1 H), 7.34−7.47  $(m, 4 H)$ , 7.62 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{23}H_{17}F_5N_2O$  433.1330; found 433.1340.

7-Fluoro-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxamide (71).  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.70–2.82 (m, 1 H), 2.89–3.01 (m, 1 H), 3.45 (ddd, J = 13.16, 8.46, 4.99 Hz, 1 H), 3.86−3.96 (m, 1 H), 6.65 (s, 1 H), 7.05− 7.16 (m, 3 H), 7.20 (dd, J = 9.59, 2.54 Hz, 1 H), 7.33 (dd, J = 8.41, 5.87 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H), 7.46–7.54 (m, 2 H), 7.70  $(d, J = 8.22 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}), 8.68 \text{ (s, 1 H)}. \text{MS (ESI pos. ion)} \text{ m/z: calcd for}$  $C_{23}H_{17}F_5N_2O$  433.1330; found 433.1320.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1H-benzo[c]azepine-2(3H)-carboxamide  $(72)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 1.71–1.82 (m, 1 H), 1.83–1.96 (m, 1 H), 2.80–2.88 (m, 2 H), 3.21−3.29 (m, 1 H), 4.04 (dt, J = 15.36, 3.77 Hz, 1 H), 6.78 (s, 1 H), 6.96−7.02 (m, 2 H), 7.12 (d, J = 7.43 Hz, 1 H), 7.22 (td, J = 6.75, 2.74 Hz, 1 H), 7.26−7.35 (m, 5 H), 7.37−7.42 (m, 1 H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O 429.1580; found 429.1605.

2-Benzyl-8-fluoro-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,2-dihydroisoquinoline (75). To a 25 mL round-bottomed flask were added 8fluoroisoquinoline (73, 0.40 g, 2.7 mmol),  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  (5 mL), and benzyl bromide (0.37 mL, 3.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 3 h and cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed under vacuum to give 2-benzyl-8-fluoroisoquinolinium bromide (74) as a yellow solid that was used without further purification. To a 100 mL round-bottomed flask were added magnesium turnings (0.28 g, 11 mmol), THF (10 mL), and a crystal of iodine. The reaction mixture was placed under an argon atmosphere, and 4-bromobenzotrifluoride (1.16 mL, 8.3 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h during which (4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)magnesium bromide was formed. A separate 100 mL round-bottomed flask was charged with 2 benzyl-8-fluoroisoquinolinium bromide (74, 0.87 g, 2.7 mmol) and THF (15 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 °C. To this flask was added (4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)magnesium bromide over a period of 5 min, and the solution was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 1 h and at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (35 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (2  $\times$  25 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water and saturated aqueous NaCl and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The title compound was obtained as brown oil and used without further purification. MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{23}H_{17}F_{4}N$  384.2; found 384.1.

2-Benzyl-8-fluoro-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (76). To a 250 mL round-bottomed flask were added 2-benzyl-8-fluoro-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,2-dihydroisoquinoline (75, 1.2 g, 3.1 mmol), THF (20 mL), and NaBH4 (0.32 g, 8.4 mmol) at room temperature. After 20 min, acetic acid (3.3 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated and dissolved in EtOAc (150 mL), and water (25 mL) was added. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and concentrated to yield the crude product. Purification by flash silica gel chromatography (eluent EtOAc/hexanes gradient) gave the title compound as a colorless oil  $(0.78 \text{ g}, 65\%)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.61 (dt, J = 12.55, 5.27 Hz, 1 H), 2.72−2.87 (m, 2 H), 2.89−3.02 (m, 1 H), 3.55 (d, J = 13.55 Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (d, J = 13.55 Hz, 1 H), 5.06 (s, 1 H), 6.89−6.98  $(m, 1 H)$ , 7.11 (d, J = 7.53 Hz, 1 H), 7.21–7.42 (m, 8 H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.03 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>4</sub>N 386.2; found 386.1.

8-Fluoro-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (77). To a 25 mL reaction vial were added 2-benzyl-8-fluoro-1- (4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (76, 0.20 g, 0.52 mmol), palladium hydroxide and carbon (20%, 0.14 g, 0.19 mmol), and EtOH (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under a 50 psi hydrogen atmosphere for 3 h. The catalyst was removed by filtration through a Celite pad, and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by prep-HPLC using a gradient of  $CH_3CN/water$  (0.1% TFA) and a PHENOMENEX Gemini Axia-5 C-18 column  $(100 \times 21.2 \text{ mm}^2)$ . The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the resulting product was dissolved in MeOH (5 mL) and neutralized by passing the solution through a Polymer Lab-HCO<sub>3</sub> macroporous resin cartridge. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give the title compound as a colorless oil  $(0.13 \text{ g}, 86\%)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz},$ DMSO- $d_6$ ): 2.82–2.95 (m, 3 H), 2.96–3.03 (m, 1 H), 5.53 (s, 1 H), 6.99 (t, J = 9.10 Hz, 1 H), 7.13 (d, J = 7.63 Hz, 1 H), 7.28−7.36 (m, 1 H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.02 Hz, 2 H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{16}H_{13}F_4N$  296.1; found 296.1.

8-Fluoro-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline-2(1H)-carboxamide (78). To a 25 mL roundbottomed flask were added 8-fluoro-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)- 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (77, 0.41 g, 1.4 mmol), DCE (10 mL), and 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate (0.18 mL, 1.6 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 16 h, and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum. The product was purified by prep-HPLC using a gradient of  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN/water$  (0.1% TFA) and a PHENOMENEX Gemini Axia-5 C-18 column  $(100 \times 21.2 \text{ mm}^2)$ . The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the resulting product was dissolved in MeOH (5 mL) and neutralized by passing the solution through a Polymer Lab-HCO<sub>3</sub> macroporous resin cartridge. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum to give the title compound as a white film (0.42 g, 70%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) 2.79–2.89 (m, 1 H) 2.96−3.09 (m, 1 H) 3.20−3.26 (m, 1 H) 4.00−4.06 (m, 1 H) 6.82 (s, 1 H) 7.11 (q, J = 8.80 Hz, 3 H) 7.18 (d, J = 7.63 Hz, 1 H) 7.35 – 7.42  $(m, 3 H)$  7.50 (dd,  $J = 9.00$ , 5.09 Hz, 2 H) 7.72 (d,  $J = 8.22$  Hz, 2 H) 8.81 (s, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{23}H_{17}F_5N_2O$ 433.1330; found 433.1358.

3-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)isoindolin-1-one (80). To a solution of phthalimide (79, 22.4 g, 16.3 mmol) in THF (20 mL) under  $N_2$ atmosphere at 0 °C was added a solution of (4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl)magnesium bromide in THF (1M, 60 mL), followed by 1,3 dimethyl-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2(1H)-pyrimidinone (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h and quenched by the addition of an aqueous solution of sodium phosphate. The resulting mixture was diluted with EtOAc and stirred at room temperature for 15 min. The organic layer was separated, washed with water and saturated aqueous NaCl, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Evaporation of the solvent under vacuum gave 3-hydroxy-3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)isoindolin-1-one (5.1 g) as an orange solid that was used without further purification. To a solution of 3-hydroxy-3-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)isoindolin-1 one (3.0 g, 10.2 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (60 mL) was added TFA (5.3 mL, 71.6 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. Triethylsilane (3.3 mL, 20.5 mmol) was added, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of water (50 mL), and  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min, the organic layer was collected, and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (30 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$  and partially concentrated in vacuo. A white precipitate was obtained, filtered, and dried in vacuo to give the title compound as a white solid (1.15 g, 53%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 5.85 (s, 1 H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.83 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.41 Hz, 2 H), 7.56 (d, J = 7.04 Hz, 1 H), 7.60 (dt,  $J = 6.30$ , 1.40 Hz, 1 H), 7.70 (d,  $J = 8.22$  Hz, 2 H), 7.86 (d,  $J = 7.24$  Hz, 1 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{15}H_{10}F_3NO$  278.1; found 278.1.

1-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)isoindoline (81). To a solution of 3- (4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)isoindolin-1-one (80, 0.50 g, 1.80 mmol) in THF (7 mL) was added lithium aluminum hydride (1 M in THF, 5.41 mL, 5.41 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 75 °C for 2 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, and the reaction was quenched by the addition of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O$  until no bubbling was observed. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and filtered, and the solid was washed with EtOAc. The combined filtrates were concentrated, and the residue was purified first by silica gel column chromatography (0–20% MeOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>), then by prep HPLC (0−100% CH3CN/water, 0.1% TFA). The  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  was removed in vacuo, saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (15 mL) was added, and the solution was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO4, concentrated, and dried under vacuum to give the title compound as a white solid (63 mg, 13%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 4.30 (d,  $J = 15.06$  Hz, 1 H), 4.42 (d, J = 14.28 Hz, 1 H), 5.53 (s, 1 H), 7.00 (d, J = 7.63 Hz, 1 H), 7.19−7.34 (m, 2 H), 7.39 (d, J = 7.63 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H), 7.66 (d,  $J = 8.02$  Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{15}H_{12}F_3N$  264.1; found 264.1.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)isoindoline-2 *carboxamide* (82). To a solution of  $1-(4-(\text{trifluorometryl})\text{phenyl})$ isoindoline (81, 58 mg, 0.22 mmol) and diisopropylethylamine (38  $\mu$ L, 0.22 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) was added 4-fluorophenyl isocyanate (25  $\mu$ L, 0.22 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (2 mL) and extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (2 × 2 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude material. The product was purified by prep-HPLC (0− 100% CH3CN/water, 0.1% TFA). The solvents were removed, and a saturated aqueous solution of  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$  was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc  $(2 \times 25 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, concentrated, and dried under vacuum to give the title compound as a white solid  $(36$  mg,  $41\%)$ . <sup>1</sup>H

<span id="page-16-0"></span>NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD): 5.01 (d, J = 13.89 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (dd, J = 13.79, 2.45 Hz, 1 H), 6.30 (d, J = 2.15 Hz, 1 H), 6.94−7.03 (m, 2 H), 7.12 (d, J = 7.63 Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (t, J = 7.43 Hz, 1 H), 7.31−7.39 (m, 3 H), 7.44 (d, J = 7.43 Hz, 1 H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{22}H_{16}F_4N_2O$  401.1; found 401.1.

tert-Butyl 5-Oxo-5-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)pentylcarbamate (84). To a solution of tert-butyl 2-oxopiperidine-1-carboxylate (83, 2.11 g, 10.6 mmol) in THF (20 mL) under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at  $-78$  °C was added a solution of (4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)magnesium bromide in THF (1 M, 11.7 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 30 min, allowed to warm to room temperature, and stirred at room temperature for an additional hour. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of a 10% aqueous solution of HCl, and the resulting mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2×). The combined organic extracts were dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent EtOAc/hexanes 20%) to afford the title compound as an off-white solid  $(2.41 \text{ g}, 66\%)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400$ MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.44 (s, 9 H), 1.53–1.64 (m, 4 H), 1.70–1.89 (m, 2 H), 3.03 (t, J = 7.24 Hz, 2 H), 3.17 (q, J = 6.46 Hz, 2 H), 4.59 (br s, 1 H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{17}H_{22}F_3NO_3$  345.1; found 246.1.

2-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)piperidine (85). tert-Butyl 5-oxo-5- (4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)pentylcarbamate (84, 2.31 g, 6.7 mmol) was dissolved in TFA (15 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. To the reaction mixture was added 50% NaOH until the pH was 12−13, and then the mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3×). The combined organic extracts were dried over Na2SO4, and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum. The residue obtained was dissolved in a 4:1 MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O mixture (10 mL), and NaBH<sub>4</sub> (0.31 g, 8.0 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The mixture was acidified with 10% HCl and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. To the reaction mixture was added 5 N NaOH until the pH was 12−13, and the solution was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3x). The combined organic extracts were dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was eliminated under vacuum to give the title compound as a yellow solid  $(1.44 \text{ g}, 94\%).$   $^1\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.39−1.60 (m, 3 H), 1.65−1.72 (m, 2 H), 1.75−1.82 (m, 1 H), 1.86−1.94 (m, 1 H), 2.80 (td, J = 11.54, 2.93 Hz, 1 H), 3.17−3.26 (m, 1 H), 3.62−3.69 (m, 1 H), 7.48 (dd, J = 8.22, 1.00 Hz, 2 H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ . calcd for  $C_{12}H_{14}F_3N$  230.1; found 230.1.

N-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)piperidine-1 carboxamide (86). To a solution of 2- $(4-(\text{trifluorometryl})\text{phenyl})$ piperidine (85, 0.37 g, 1.64 mmol) in DCE (4 mL) was added 4 fluorophenyl isocyanante (0.20 mL, 1.80 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, and the solvents were evaporated under vacuum. The crude material was absorbed onto a plug of silica gel and purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The title compound was obtained as a white solid (0.59 g, 99%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.40−1.54 (m, 1 H), 1.63−1.78 (m, 3 H), 1.99−2.12 (m, 1 H), 2.33 (dd, J = 14.18, 3.42 Hz, 1 H), 2.97−3.14 (m, 1 H), 3.94 (br d, J = 13.10 Hz, 1 H), 5.49 (br s, 1 H), 6.32 (br s, 1 H), 6.93−7.02 (m, 2 H), 7.26−7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.22 Hz, 2 H), 7.63 (d,  $J = 8.41$  Hz, 2 H). MS (ESI pos. ion)  $m/z$ : calcd for  $C_{19}H_{18}F_4N_2O$  367.1424; found 367.1430.

Biological Assays. In Vitro Rat TRPM8 Functional Assay. Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells stably expressing rat TRPM8 were generated using the tetracycline-inducible T-REx expression system from Invitrogen, Inc. (Carlsbad, CA). To enable a luminescence readout based on intracellular increase in calcium, each cell line was also cotransfected with pcDNA3.1 plasmid containing jellyfish aequorin cDNA.<sup>24</sup> Cells were seeded in 96-well plates 24 h before the assay, and TRPM8 channel expression was induced with 0.5  $\mu$ g/mL [of](#page-18-0) tetracycline. On assay day, the growth medium was removed, and cells were incubated with assay buffer for 2 h. Cells were then exposed to test compounds (at varying concentrations) and incubated for 2.5 min prior to adding the agonist  $(3, 1, \mu M)$ . The

luminescence was measured by a charged-couple device (CCD) camera-based FLASH-luminometer built by Amgen, Inc. Compound activity was calculated using GraphPad Prism 4.01 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA).

Rat Liver Microsomal Stability. Test compounds  $(1 \mu M)$  were incubated with male CD1 rat liver microsomes (1 mg/mL) in the presence of NADPH (1 mM) in phosphate-buffered saline (66.7 mM) at 37 °C. Incubations were conducted for 30 min. Control incubations were generated by the omission of NADPH from the incubation reaction. Under these conditions, a cut off of  $\langle 100 \mu L / (min \cdot mg) \rangle$  was considered desirable.

Rat PK Study. Nine male Sprague−Dawley rats were randomized to dose groups as shown in Table 5. Animals in groups 1 and 2 were dosed via intravenous injection, and animals in group 3 were dosed via oral gavage. Plasma samples were collected for PK analysis predose and at 0.083, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, [8,](#page-9-0) and 16 h post-iv dose and predose and 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 16 h post-po dose. Plasma concentrations for compounds 87 and 88 were measurement using sensitive LC/MS/MS methods. Noncompartmental analysis was conducted on compound 87 and 88 plasma concentrations using WinNonlin Enterprise v.5.1.1 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA).

In Vivo Assay. Icilin-Induced "Wet-Dog" Shaking in Rats. Male Sprague–Dawley rats (220–300 g,  $n = 5-13/\text{treatment}$ ) were first habituated to the testing room for 30 min and then to a transparent Plexiglas observation cylinder for 20 min. The cylinders were placed on a custom opaque plastic apparatus such that one rat could not view any other rats. $25$  A TRPM8 antagonist or vehicle control was administered po 90 min prior to administration of 3 (0.5 mg/kg, ip, 100% P[EG](#page-18-0) 400), and wet-dog shakes (WDS) were counted for a duration of 30 min postadministration. Antagonists were tested to assess the ability to block the spontaneous wet-dog shake phenomena induced by 3.

Absolute Sterochemical Determination. Vibrational circular dichroism (VCD) spectra of 87 and 88 were recorded on a BioTools/Bomem Dual PEM ChiralIR spectrometer (Biotools, Inc., Jupiter, FL) as CDCl<sub>3</sub> solutions (50 mg/mL, BaF<sub>2</sub> cell, 100  $\mu$ M path length, 8 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> resolution) and are solvent subtracted. Optical rotation measurements were performed at 26  $^{\circ}$ C in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 589 nm. Quantum mechanical geometry optimizations and harmonic frequency/VCD analysis were performed with the B3LYP hybrid density functional and the 6-31G\* basis set. Optical rotation calculations were performed with the B3LYP functional and the  $6-311++G(2d,2p)$  basis upon the B3LYP/6-31G\* geometries, utilizing the frequency-dependent polarizability at 589.0 nm. All quantum mechanical calculations were performed with the Gaussian 03 program system. Please see Supporting Information for full details.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

Computed geometric parameters, total and free energies, Boltzmann populations, and predicted optical rotations for the conformational ensemble of  $(R)$ -87; complete *Gaussian* 03 reference; TRP selectivity data, human TRPM8 activity, and human liver microsomal clearance for compounds 87 and 88. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### ■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*Phone: 805-447-8814. Fax: 805-480-1337. E-mail: ntamayo@ amgen.com.

# ■ [ACKNO](mailto:ntamayo@amgen.com)WLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Randall Hungate and Ken Wild for their support of this research program. Thanks also go to Wesley

# <span id="page-17-0"></span>**Journal of Medicinal Chemistry Article** Article 1996 and 2008 and 20

Barnhart for his excellent technical assistance in conducting the HPLC chiral separation and to Xiping Zhang and Jian Jiang for their support of the LC-MS/MS bioanalysis. Finally, we acknowledge all of our colleagues on the TRPM8 research team (Pharmaceutics, Toxicology, and PKDM).

# ■ ABBREVIATIONS

TRPM8, transient receptor potential melastatin type 8; WDS, wet-dog shakes; TRP, transient receptor potential; TRP subtype C, canonical; TRP subtype V, vanilloid; TRP subtype A, ankyrin; TRP subtype P, polycystin; ML, mucolipin; CHO, chinese hamster ovary; SAR, structure−activity relationship; RLM, rat liver microsomes; ND, not determined; VCD, vibrational circular dichroism;  $AUC_{info}$  area under the serum concentration time curve from time 0 to infinity; CL, total body clearance;  $V_{ss}$ , volume of distribution at steady state;  $t_{1/2}$ , terminal half-life;  $C_{\text{max}}$  maximum observed serum concentration

#### ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Clapham, D. E. TRP channels as cellular sensors. Nature 2003, 426, 517−524. (b) Li, M.; Yu, Y.; Yang, J. Structural biology of TRP channels. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 2011, 704, 1−23.

(2) Nealen, M. L.; Gold, M. S.; Thut, P. D.; Caterina, M. J. TRPM8 mRNA is expressed in a subset of cold-responsive trigeminal neurons from rat. J. Neurophysiol. 2003, 90, 515−520.

(3) Peier, A. M.; Moqrich, A.; Hergarden, A. C.; Reeve, A. J.; Andersson, D. A.; Story, G. M.; Earley, T. J.; Dragoni, I.; McIntyre, P.; Bevan, S.; Patapoutian, A. A TRP channel that senses cold stimuli and menthol. Cell 2002, 108, 705−715.

(4) Kü hn, F. J. P.; Kü hn, C.; Lü ckhoff, A. Inhibition of TRPM8 by icilin distinct from desensitization induced by menthol derivatives. J. Biol. Chem. 2009, 284, 4102−4111.

(5) McKemy, D. D. Therapeutic potential of TRPM8 modulators. Open Access Drug Discovery J. 2010, 2, 80−87.

(6) (a) Bautista, D. M.; Siemens, J.; Glazer, J. M.; Tsuruda, P. R.; Basbaum, A. I.; Stucky, C. L.; Jordt, S. E.; Julius, D. The menthol receptor TRPM8 is the principal detector of environmental cold. Nature 2007, 448, 204−208. (b) Colburn, R. W.; Lubin, M. L.; Stone, D. J. Jr.; Wang, Y.; Lawrence, D.; D'Andrea, M. R.; Brandt, M. R.; Liu, Y.; Flores, C. M.; Qin, N. Attenuated cold sensitivity in TRPM8 null mice. Neuron 2007, 54, 379−386. (c) Dhaka, A.; Murray, A. N.; Mathur, J.; Earley, T. J.; Petrus, M. J.; Patapoutian, A. TRPM8 is required for cold sensation in mice. Neuron 2007, 54, 371−378.

(7) Gauchan, P.; Andoh, T.; Kato, A.; Kuraishi, Y. Involvement of increased expression of transient receptor potential melastatin 8 in oxaliplatin-induced cold allodynia in mice. Neurosci. Lett. 2009, 458, 93−95.

(8) Vinik, A. I. Advances in diabetes for the millennium: New treatments for diabetic neuropathies. Med. Gen. Med. 2004, 6, 13.

(9) Tahmoush, A. J.; Schwartzman, R. J.; Hopp, J. L.; Grothusen, J. R. Quantitative sensory studies in complex regional pain syndrome type 1/RSD. Clin. J. Pain 2000, 16, 340−344.

(10) Xing, H.; Chen, M.; Ling, J.; Tan, W.; Gu, J. G. TRPM8 mechanism of cold allodynia after chronic nerve injury. J. Neurosci. 2007, 27, 13680−13690.

(11) Mukerji, G.; Yiangou, Y.; Corcoran, S. L.; Selmer, I. S.; Smith, G. D.; Benham, C. D.; Bountra, C.; Agarwal, S. K.; Anand, P. Cool and menthol receptor TRPM8 in human urinary bladder disorders and clinical correlations. BMC Urol. 2006, 6, No. 6.

(12) Lashinger, E. S. R.; Steiginga, M. S.; Hieble, J. P.; Leon, L. A.; Gardner, S. D.; Nagilla, R.; Davenport, E. A.; Hoffman, B. E.; Laping, N. J.; Su, X. AMTB, a TRPM8 channel blocker: Evidence in rats for activity in overactive bladder and painful bladder syndrome. AJP Renal Physiol. 2008, 295, F803−F810.

(13) Caspani, O.; Zurborg, S.; Labuz, D.; Heppenstall., P. A. The contribution of TRPM8 and TRA1 channels to cold allodynia and neuropathic pain. PLoS ONE 2009, 4, e7383 DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0007383.

(14) Patents: (a) Lampe, T.; Alonso-Alija, C.; Stelte-Ludwig, B.; Sandner, P.; Bauser, M.; Beck, H.; Lustig, K.; Rosentreter, U.; Sahl, E.; Takagi, H. Preparation of substituted N-(4-benzyloxyphenyl) methylamide derivatives as cold menthol receptor-1 (CMR-1) antagonists for treatment of urological disorders. WO2006/040136 A1, 2006. (b) Alonso-Alija, C.; Sandner, P.; Stelte-Ludwig, B. Use of cold menthol receptor modulators for the treatment of respiratory disorders. WO 2006/040103A1, 2006. (c) Colburn, R. W.; Dax, S. L.; Flores, C.; Matthews, J.; Qin, N.; Youngman, M. A.; Teleha, C.; Reany, L. Phosphorus-containing benzothiophene and benzofuran antagonists of transient cold receptor potential channels (TRPM8) as antihyperalgesic and antiallodynic agents for treatment of abnormal cold sensitivity and pain. WO2007/134107A2, 2007. (d) Norman, M. H.; Bo, Y. Y.; Gore, V. K.; Horne, D.; Kaller, M.; Ma, V. V.; Monenschein, H.; Nguyen, T.; Nishimura, N.; Tamayo, N. Preparation of substituted dihydroisoquinoline derivatives for use as TRP-M8 receptor ligands. WO 2009/073203A1, 2009. (e) Irlapati, N. R.; Thomas, A.; Kurhe, D. K.; Shelke, S. Y.; Khairatkar, J. N.; Viswanadha, S.; Mukhopadhyay, I. Preparation of fused oxazole and thiazole derivatives as TRPM8 modulators. WO 2010/010435 A2, 2010. (f) Inoue, T.; Ohmi, M.; Kawamura, K.; Ando, K.; Shishido, Y. Sulfamoylbenzoic acid derivatives and related compounds as TRPM8 antagonists and their preparation and use for the treatment of diseases. WO 2010/125831 A1, 2010. (g) Colburn, R. W.; Dax, S. L.; Flores, C. M.; Ludovici, D. W.; Xia, M.; Xu, X.; Youngman, M. A.; Zhu, B. Amide compounds as cold menthol receptor antagonists and their preparation, pharmaceutical compositions and use in the treatment of diseases. WO2010/ 021882A2, 2010. (h) Colburn, R. W.; Dax, S. L.; Flores, C. M.; Ludovici, D. W.; Mathews, J. M.; Xia, M.; Xu, X.; Youngman, M. A.; Zhu, B. N-Acylheterocyclic compounds as cold menthol receptor antagonists and their preparation, pharmaceutical compositions and use in the treatment of diseases. WO2010/021878A1, 2010.

(15) (a) Lashinger, E. S.R.; Steiginga, M. S.; Hieble, J. P.; Leon, L. A.; Gardner, S. D.; Nagilla, R.; Davenport, E. A.; Hoffman, B. E.; Laping, N. J.; Su, X. AMTB, a TRPM8 channel blocker: Evidence for activity in overactive bladder and painful bladder syndrome. Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol. 2008, 295, F803−F810. (b) Ortar, G.; De Petrocellis, L.; Morera, L.; Moriello, A. S.; Orlando, P.; Morera, E.; Nalli, M.; Di Marzo, V. (−)-Menthylamine derivatives as potent and selective antagonists of transient receptor potential melastatin type-8 (TRPM8) channels. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 2729−2732. (c) DeFalco, J.; Steiger, D.; Dourado, M.; Emerling, D.; Duncton, M. A. J. 5- Benzyloxytryptamine as an antagonist of TRPM8. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 7076−7079. (d) Parks, D. J.; Parsons, W. H.; Colburn, R. W.; Meegalla, S. K.; Ballentine, S. K.; Illig, C. R.; Qin, N.; Liu, Y.; Hutchinson, T. L.; Lubin, M. L.; Stone, D. J. Jr.; Baker, J. F.; Schneider, C. R.; Ma, J.; Damiano, B. P.; Flores, C. M.; Player, M. R. Design and optimization of benzimidazole-containing Transient Receptor Potential Melastatin 8 (TRPM8) antagonists. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 233−247.

(16) Rat liver microsome incubation studies reported herein were conducted in the presence of NADPH (1 mM) in phosphate-buffered saline (66.7 mM) at 37 °C for 30 min, at a final compound concentration of 1  $\mu$ M. Under these conditions, a cut off of <100  $\mu$ L/ (min·mg) was considered desirable.

(17) Arnoldi, A.; Bregante, G.; Caldirola, P.; Merlini, L.; Tamburini, B. A new synthesis of 4,5,6,7-tetrahydrofuro[2,3-c]pyridines and -furo[2,3-c]pyrrolidines. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1990, 27, 1169−1171.

(18) Madsen, P.; Lundbeck, J. M.; Jakobsen, P.; Varming, A. R.; Westergaard, N. Glucose-6-phosphatase catalytic enzyme inhibitors: synthesis and in vitro evaluation of novel 4,5,6,7-tetrahydrothieno[3,2 c]- and -[2,3-c]pyridines. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2000, 8, 2277−2289.

(19) Less than 10% of the corresponding 3-methyl regioisomer was observed in this reaction.

(20) Giovannini, A.; Savoia, D.; Umani-Ronchi, A. Organometallic ring-opening reactions of N-acyl and N-alkoxycarbonyl lactams. Synthesis of cyclic imines. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 228−234.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>(21) (a) Stephens, P. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Pan, J.-J. The determination of the absolute configurations of chiral molecules using vibrational circular dichroism (VCD) spectroscopy. Chirality 2008, 20, 643−663. (b) Freedman, T. B.; Cao, X.; Dukor, R. K.; Nafie, L. A. Absolute configuration determination of chiral molecules in the solution state using vibrational circular dichroism. Chirality 2003, 15, 743−758.

(22) (a) Devlin, F. J.; Stephens, P. J.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Frisch, M. J. Ab initio prediction of vibrational absorption and circular dichroism spectra of chiral natural products using density functional theory: Camphor and fenchone. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 6322−6333. (b) Devlin, F. J.; Stephens, P. J.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Frisch, M. J. Prediction of vibrational circular dichroism spectra using density functional theory: Camphor and fenchone. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6327−6328. (c) Cheeseman, J. R.; Frisch, M. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Stephens, P. J. Ab initio calculations of atomic axial tensors and vibrational rotational strengths using density functional theory. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1996, 252, 211−220. (d) Stephens, P. J.; Devlin, F. J.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Frisch, M. J. Calculation of optical rotation using density functional theory. J. Phys Chem. A 2001, 105, 5356−5371.

(23) Wei, E. T.; Seid, A. AG-3-5: A chemical producing sensations of cold. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 1983, 35, 110−112.

(24) Le Poul, E.; Hisada, S.; Mizuguchi, Y.; Dupriez, V. J.; Burgeon, E.; Detheux, M. Adaptation of aequorin functional assay to high throughput screening. J. Biomol. Screening 2002, 7, 57−65.

(25) Langford, D. J.; Crager, S. E.; Shehzad, Z.; Smith, S. B.; Sotocinal, S. G.; Levenstadt, J. S.; Chanda, M. L.; Levitin, D. J.; Mogil, J. S. Social modulation of pain as evidence for empathy in mice. Science 2006, 312, 1967−1970.